IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CANADA

(ON APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF APPEAL OF QUEBEC)

BETWEEN:

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF QUÉBEC

APPELLANT

-and-

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA, ASSEMBLY OF FIRST NATIONS
QUEBEC-LABRADOR, FIRST NATIONS OF QUEBEC AND
LABRADOR HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES COMMISSION,
MAKIVIK CORPORATION, ASSEMBLY OF FIRST NATIONS,
ASENIWUCHE WINEWAK NATION OF CANADA, FIRST NATIONS
CHILD AND FAMILY CARING SOCIETY OF CANADA

RESPONDENTS

(CONTINUED)

FACTUM (CHIEFS OF ONTARIO, INTERVENER)

(Pursuant to Rule 42 of the Rules of the Supreme Court of Canada)

OLTHUIS, KLEER, TOWNSHEND LLP

250 University Ave., 8th floor Toronto, ON M5H 3E5

Maggie Wente Krista Nerland Jesse Abell

Tel: (416) 981-9330 Fax: (416) 981-9350

Email: <u>mwente@oktlaw.com</u>

knerland@oktlaw.com

Counsel for the Intervener, Chiefs of Ontario

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP

340 Gilmour Street, Suite 100 Ottawa, ON K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major

Tel: (613) 695-8855 Ext: 102

Fax: (613) 695-8580

Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Agent for Counsel for the Intervener,

Chiefs of Ontario

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CANADA (ON APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF APPEAL OF QUEBEC)

BETWEEN:

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF QUÉBEC

APPELLANT

-and-

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA, ASSEMBLY OF FIRST NATIONS
QUEBEC-LABRADOR, FIRST NATIONS OF QUEBEC AND
LABRADOR HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES COMMISSION,
MAKIVIK CORPORATION, ASSEMBLY OF FIRST NATIONS,
ASENIWUCHE WINEWAK NATION OF CANADA, FIRST NATIONS
CHILD AND FAMILY CARING SOCIETY OF CANADA

RESPONDENTS

-and-

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MANITOBA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ALBERTA, GRAND COUNCIL OF TREATY #3, INNU TAKUAIKAN UASHAT MAK MANI-UTENAM (ITUM), FEDERATION OF SOVEREIGN INDIGENOUS NATIONS, PEGUIS CHILD AND FAMILY SERVICES, NATIVE WOMEN'S ASSOCIATION OF CANADA, COUNCIL OF YUKON FIRST NATIONS, INDIGENOUS BAR ASSOCIATION, CHIEFS OF ONTARIO, INUVIALUIT REGIONAL CORPORATION, INUIT TAPIRIIT KANATAMI, NUNATSIAVUT GOVERNMENT AND NUNAVUT TUNNGAVIK INCORPORATED, NUNATUKAVUT COMMUNITY COUNCIL, LANDS ADVISORY BOARD, MÉTIS NATIONAL COUNCIL, MÉTIS NATION-SASKATCHEWAN, MÉTIS NATION OF ALBERTA, MÉTIS NATION BRITISH COLUMBIA, MÉTIS NATION OF ONTARIO AND LES FEMMES MICHIF OTIPEMISIWAK, LISTUGUJ MI'GMAO GOVERNMENT, CONGRESS OF ABORIGINAL PEOPLES, FIRST NATIONS FAMILY ADVOCATE OFFICE, ASSEMBLY OF MANITOBA CHIEFS, FIRST NATIONS OF THE MAA-NULTH TREATY SOCIETY, TRIBAL CHIEFS VENTURES INC., UNION OF BRITISH COLUMBIA INDIAN CHIEFS, FIRST NATIONS SUMMIT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA AND BRITISH COLUMBIA ASSEMBLY OF FIRST NATIONS, DAVID ASPER CENTRE FOR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, REGROUPEMENT PETAPAN, CANADIAN CONSTITUTION FOUNDATION, CARRIER SEKANI FAMILY SERVICES SOCIETY, CHESLATTA CARRIER NATION, NADLEH WHUTEN, SAIK'UZ FIRST NATION AND STELLAT'EN FIRST NATION, CONSEIL DES ATIKAMEKW D'OPITCIWAN, VANCOUVER ABORIGINAL CHILD AND FAMILY

SERVICES SOCIETY, NISHNAWBE ASKI NATION, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

INTERVENERS

AND BETWEEN:

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

APPELLANT

-and-

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF QUÉBEC

RESPONDENT

-and-

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MANITOBA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ALBERTA, FIRST NATIONS CHILD AND FAMILY CARING SOCIETY OF CANADA, ASENIWUCHE WINEWAK NATION OF CANADA, ASSEMBLY OF FIRST NATIONS, MAKIVIK CORPORATION, ASSEMBLY OF FIRST NATIONS QUEBEC-LABRADOR, FIRST NATIONS OF QUEBEC AND LABRADOR HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES COMMISSION. GRAND COUNCIL OF TREATY #3, INNU TAKUAIKAN UASHAT MAK MANI-UTENAM (ITUM), FEDERATION OF SOVEREIGN INDIGENOUS NATIONS, PEGUIS CHILD AND FAMILY SERVICES, NATIVE WOMEN'S ASSOCIATION OF CANADA, COUNCIL OF YUKON FIRST NATIONS, INDIGENOUS BAR ASSOCIATION, CHIEFS OF ONTARIO, INUVIALUIT REGIONAL CORPORATION, INUIT TAPIRIIT KANATAMI, NUNATSIAVUT GOVERNMENT AND NUNAVUT TUNNGAVIK INCORPORATED, NUNATUKAVUT COMMUNITY COUNCIL, LANDS ADVISORY BOARD, MÉTIS NATIONAL COUNCIL, MÉTIS NATION-SASKATCHEWAN, MÉTIS NATION OF ALBERTA, MÉTIS NATION BRITISH COLUMBIA, MÉTIS NATION OF ONTARIO AND LES FEMMES MICHIF OTIPEMISIWAK, LISTUGUJ MI'GMAQ GOVERNMENT, CONGRESS OF ABORIGINAL PEOPLES, FIRST NATIONS FAMILY ADVOCATE OFFICE, ASSEMBLY OF MANITOBA CHIEFS, FIRST NATIONS OF THE MAA-NULTH TREATY SOCIETY, TRIBAL CHIEFS VENTURES INC., UNION OF BRITISH COLUMBIA INDIAN CHIEFS, FIRST NATIONS SUMMIT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA AND BRITISH COLUMBIA ASSEMBLY OF FIRST NATIONS, DAVID ASPER CENTRE FOR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. REGROUPEMENT PETAPAN, CANADIAN CONSTITUTION FOUNDATION, CARRIER SEKANI FAMILY SERVICES SOCIETY, CHESLATTA CARRIER NATION, NADLEH WHUTEN, SAIK'UZ FIRST NATION AND STELLAT'EN FIRST NATION, CONSEIL DES ATIKAMEKW D'OPITCIWAN, VANCOUVER ABORIGINAL CHILD AND FAMILY

SERVICES SOCIETY, NISHNAWBE ASKI NATION, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES INTERVENERS

BERNARD, ROY & ASSOCIÉS

1, rue Notre-Dame Est, bureau 8.00 Montréal, QC H2Y 1B6

Samuel Chayer Francis Demers Gabrielle Robert

Tel: (514) 393-2336 Fax: (514) 873-7074

Email: samuel.chayer@justice.gouv.qc.ca

<u>Francis.demers@justice.gouv.qc.ca</u> <u>Gabrielle.robert@justice.gouv.gc.ca</u>

MINISTÈRE DE LA JUSTICE DU QUÉBEC

1200, route de l'Église Québec, QC G1V 4M1

Tania Clercq Hubert Noreau-Simpson Marie-Catherine Bolduc

Tel: (418) 643-1477 Fax: (418) 644-7030

Courriel: tania.clercq@justice.gouv.qc.ca

Hubert.noreau-

simpson@justice.gouv.gc.ca

Marie-

catherine.bolduc@justice.gouv.gc.ca

Counsel for the Attorney General of Québec

NOËL ET ASSOCIÉS, s.e.n.c.r.l.

225, montée Paiement, 2e étage Gatineau, QC J8P 6M7

Pierre Landry

Tel: (819) 503-2178 Fax: (819) 771-5397

Email: p.landry@noelassocies.com

Agent for Counsel for the Attorney

General of Québec

MINISTÈRE DE LA JUSTICE -CANADA

200, boul. René-Levesque Ouest Montréal, QC H2Z 1X4

François Joyal Bernard Letarte Andréane Joanette-Laflamme Lindy Rouillard-Labbé Amélia Couture

Tel: (514) 283-5880 Fax: (514) 496-7876

Email: françois.joyal@justice.gc.ca

bletarte@justice.gc.ca

andreane.laflamme@justice.gc.ca lindy.rouillard-labbe@justice.gc.ca amelia.couture@justice.gc.ca

Counsel for the Attorney General of Canada

PAPE SALTER TEILLET LLP

546 Euclid Avenue Toronto, ON M6G 2T2

Kathryn Tucker Nuri Frame

Tel: (416) 855-7194 Fax: (416) 916-3726 Email: <u>ktucker@pstlaw.ca</u>

nframe@pstlaw.ca

MAKIVIK CORPORATION

1111 Dr.-Frederik-Philips Blvd. Saint-Laurent, QC H4M 2X6

Robin Campbell

Tel: (514) 745-8880 Fax: (514) 745-0364

Email: rcampbell@makivik.org

Counsel for Makivik Corporation

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

Department of Justice Canada, Civil Litigation Section 50 O'Connor Street, 5th Floor Ottawa, ON K1A 0H8

Christopher M. Rupar

Tel: (613) 941-2351 Fax: (613) 954-1920

Email: christopher.rupar@justice.gc.ca

Agent for Counsel for the Attorney General

of Canada

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP

340 Gilmour Street, Suite 100 Ottawa, ON K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major

Tel: (613) 695-8855 Ext: 102

Fax: (613) 695-8580

Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Agent for Counsel for Makivik Corporation

FRANKLIN GERTLER ÉTUDE LÉGALE

507 Place d'Armes, bureau 1701 Montréal, QC H2Y 2W8

Franklin S. Gertler Gabrielle Champigny Hadrien Gabriel Burlone

Tel: (514) 798-1988 Fax: (514) 798-1986

Email: franklin@gertlerlex.ca

gchampigny@gertlerlex.ca h.burlone@hotmail.ca

-and-

ASSEMBLY OF FIRST NATIONS QUEBEC-LABRADOR (AFNOL)

Bureau 201 250, rue Chef-Michel-Laveau Wendake, QC G0A 4V0

Mira Levasseur Moreau

Tel: (418) 842-5020 Fax: (418) 842-2660

Email: mlmoreau@apnql.com

-and-

FIRST NATIONS OF QUEBEC AND LABRADOR HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES COMMISSION (FNQLHSSC)

250 Place Chef-Michel-Laveau, Suite 102 Wendake, OC G0A 4V0

Leila Ben Messaoud

Tel: (418) 842-1540, ext. 2813

Fax: (418) 482-7045

Email:

leila.benmessaoudouellet@cssspnql.com

Counsel for the Assembly of First Nations Quebec-Labrador (AFNQL) and First Nations of Quebec and Labrador Health and Social Services Commission (FNQLHSSC)

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP

340 Gilmour Street, Suite 100 Ottawa, ON K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major

Tel.: (613) 695-8855 ext 103

Fax: (613) 695-8580

Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Agent for Counsel for the Assembly of First Nations Quebec-Labrador (AFNQL) and First Nations of Quebec and Labrador Health and Social Services Commission (FNQLHSSC)

ASSEMBLY OF FIRST NATIONS

55 Metcalfe Street, Suite 1600 Ottawa, ON K1P 6L5

Stuart Wuttke Julie McGregor **Adam Williamson**

Tel: (613) 241-6789 Ext: 228

(613) 241-5808 Fax: Email: swuttke@afn.ca

> Jmcgregor@afn.ca awilliamson@afn.ca

SUPREME LAW GROUP

1800 - 275, rue Slater Ottawa, ON K1P 5H9

Moira Dillon

(613) 691-1224 Tel: (613) 691-1338 Fax:

Email: mdillon@supremelawgroup.ca

Agent for Counsel for the Assembly of First

Nations

Counsel for the Assembly of First Nations

JFK LAW CORPORATION

1175 Douglas St., Suite 816 Victoria, BC V8W 2E1

Claire Truesdale **Louise Kyle**

of Canada

(250) 405-3467 Tel: Fax: (250) 381-8567 Email: ctruesdale@jfklaw.ca

lkyle@jfklaw.ca

Counsel for Aseniwuche Winewak Nation

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP

340 Gilmour Suite, Suite 100 Ottawa, ON K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major

(613) 695-8855 Ext: 102 Tel:

(613) 695-8580 Fax:

Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Agent for Counsel for Aseniwuche Winewak

Nation of Canada

CONWAY BAXTER WILSON LLP

411, Roosevelt Avenue, Suite 400 Ottawa, ON K2A 3X9

David Taylor

Tel: (613) 691-0368 Fax: (613) 688-0271 Email: dtaylor@conway.pro

-and-

BURCHELLS LAWYERS LLP

Suite 1800 1801 Hollis Street Halifax, NS B3J 3N4

Naiomi W. Metallic

Tel: (902) 403-2229 Fax: (902) 420-9326

Email: <u>nmetallic@burchells.ca</u>

Counsel for First Nations Child and Family Caring Society of Canada

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ALBERTA

10th Floor, 10025 - 102 A Avenue Edmonton, AB T5J 2Z2

Angela Croteau Nicholas Parker

Tel: (780) 422-6868 Fax: (780) 643-0852

Email: angela.croteau@gov.ab.ca

Counsel for the Attorney General of Alberta

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

160 Elgin Street, Suite 2600 Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3

D. Lynne Watt

Tel: (613) 786-8695 Fax: (613) 788-3509

Email: lynne.watt@gowlingwlg.com

Agent for Counsel for the Attorney General of Alberta

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MANITOBA

Constitutional Law 1230 - 405 Broadway Winnipeg, MB R3C 3L6

Heather S. Leonoff, K.C. Kathryn Hart

Tel: (204) 391-0717 Fax: (204) 945-0053

Email: <u>heather.leonoff@gov.mb.ca</u>

kathryn.hart@gov.mb.ca

Counsel for the Attorney General of Manitoba

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

PO Box 9280 Stn Prov Govt Victoria, BC V8W 9J7

Leah R. Greathead Heather Cochran

Tel: (250) 356-8892 Fax: (250) 356-9154

Email: leah.greathead@gov.bc.ca

heather.cochran@gov.bc.ca

Counsel for the Attorney General of British Columbia

JFK LAW LLP

340 – 1122 Mainland Street Vancouver, BC V6B 5L1

Robert Janes, K.C.

Naomi Moses Tel: 604-687-0549

Fax: 604-687-2696 Email: rjanes@jfklaw.ca

nmoses@jfklaw.ca

Counsel for Grand Council of Treaty #3

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

160 Elgin Street, Suite 2600 Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3

D. Lynne Watt

Tel: (613) 786-8695 Fax: (613) 788-3509

Email: lynne.watt@gowlingwlg.com

Agent for Counsel for the Attorney General

of Manitoba

MICHAEL J. SOBKIN

Barrister & Solicitor 331 Somerset Street West Ottawa, ON K2P 0J8

Tel: (613) 282-1712 Fax: (613) 288-2896

Email: msobkin@sympatico.ca

Agent for Counsel for the Attorney General

of British Columbia

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP

340 Gilmour Street, Suite 100 Ottawa, ON K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major

Tel: (613) 695-8855, Ext 102

Fax: (613) 695-8580

Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Agent for Counsel for Grand Council of

Treaty #3

O'REILLY & ASSOCIÉS

1155 Robert-Bourassa, Suite 1007 Montréal, QC H3B 3A7

James A. O'Reilly, Ad. E Marie-Claude André-Grégoire Michelle Corbu Vincent Carney

Tel: (514) 871-8117 Fax: (514) 871-9177

Email: james.oreilly@orassocies.ca

Marie-claude.andre-gregoire@orassocies.ca

Michelle.corbu@orassocies.ca Vincent.carney@orassocies.ca

Counsel for the Intervener, Innu Takuaikan Uashat Mak Mani-Utenam

SUNCHILD LAW

Box 1408 Battleford, SK S0M 0E0

Michael Seed

Tel: (306) 441-1473 Fax: (306) 937-6110

Email: michael@sunchildlaw.com

DIONNE SCHULZE

507 Place d'Armes, Suite 502 Montreal, QC H2Y 2W8

Nicholas Dodd

Rosa Victoria Adams

Tel: (514) 842-0748 Fax: (514) 842-9983

Email: ndodd@dionneschulze.ca

Counsel for the Federation of Sovereign Indigenous Nations

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP

340 Gilmour Street, Suite 100 Ottawa, ON K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major

Tel.: (613) 695-8855 ext 103 Fax: (613) 695-8580

Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Agent for Counsel for the Intervener, Innu Takuaikan Uashat Mak Mani-Utenam

BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP

World Exchange Plaza 100 Queen Street, suite 1300 Ottawa, ON K1P 1J9

Nadia Effendi

Tel: (613) 787-3562 Fax: (613) 230-8842 Email: neffendi@blg.com

Agent for Counsel for the Federation of Sovereign Indigenous Nations

HAFEEZ KHAN LAW CORPORATION

1430-363 Broadway Ave. Winnipeg, MB R3C 3N9

Hafeez Khan

Tel: (431) 800-5650 Fax: (431) 800-2702

Email: hkhan@hklawcorp.ca

PEGUIS CHILD AND FAMILY **SERVICES**

Unit 1-1349 Border Street Winnipeg MB R3H 0N1

Earl C. Stevenson

Tel: (204) 632-5404 Fax: (204)632-7226

Email: earl.stevenson@peguiscfs.org

Counsel for Peguis Child and Family

Services

NATIVE WOMEN'S ASSOCIATION OF **CANADA**

120 Promenade du Portage Gatineau, QC J8X 2K1

Sarah Niman Kira Poirier

Tel: (613) 720-2529 Fax: (613) 722-7687

Email: sniman@nwac.ca kpoirier@nwac.ca

Counsel for Native Women's Association of Canada

(613) 695-8855 Ext: 102 Tel: (613) 695-8580 Fax:

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP

340 Gilmour Street, Suite 100

Ottawa, ON K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major

Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Agent for Counsel for Peguis Child and

Family Services

FIRST PEOPLES LAW LLP

55 Murray Street, Suite 230 Ottawa, ON K1N 5M3

Virginia Lomax

Tel: (613) 722-9091 Fax: (613) 722-9097

Email: vlomax@firstpeopleslaw.com

Agent for Counsel for Native Women's

Association of Canada

BOUGHTON LAW CORPORATION

700-595 Burrard Street Vancouver, BC V7X 1S8

Tammy Shoranick

Daryn Leas

James M. Coady, K.C. Tel: (604) 687-6789 Fax: (604) 683-5317

Email: tshoranick@boughtonlaw.com

dleas@boughtonlaw.com jcoady@boughtonlaw.com

Counsel for Council of Yukon First Nations

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

550 Burrard Street Vancouver, BC V6C 2B5

Paul Seaman Keith Brown

Tel: (604) 891-2731 Fax: (604) 443-6780

Email: paul.seaman@gowlingwlg.com

keith.brown@gowlingwlg.com

Counsel for Indigenous Bar Association

FOGLER, RUBINOFF LLP

77 King Street West Suite 3000, PO Box 95 TD Centre North Tower Toronto, ON M5K 1G8

Katherine Hensel Kristie Tsang

Tel: (416) 864-7608 Fax: (416) 941-8852

Email: khensel@foglers.com

kstsang@foglers.com

Counsel for Inuvialuit Regional Corporation

BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP

World Exchange Plaza 100 Queen Street, suite 1300 Ottawa, ON K1P 1J9

Nadia Effendi

Tel: (613) 787-3562 Fax: (613) 230-8842 Email: neffendi@blg.com

Agent for Counsel for Council of Yukon

First Nations

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

160 Elgin Street, Suite 2600 Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3

Cam Cameron

Tel: (613) 786-8650 Fax: (613) 563-9869

Email: cam.cameron@gowlingwlg.com

Agent for Counsel for Indigenous Bar

Association

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP

340 Gilmour Street, Suite 100 Ottawa, ON K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major

Tel: (613) 695-8855 Ext: 102

Fax: (613) 695-8580

Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Agent for Counsel for Inuvialuit Regional

Corporation

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

2600 - 160 Elgin St Box 466 Station D Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3

Brian A. Crane, K.C. Graham Ragan Alyssa Flaherty-Spence Kate Darling

Tel: (613) 233-1781 Fax: (613) 563-9869

Email: brian.crane@gowlingwlg.com

Graham.ragan@gowlingwlg.com

Alyssa.flaherty-

spence@gowlingwlg.com
Kate.darling@livingtreelaw.ca

Counsel for Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami, Nunatsiavut Government and Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated

BURCHELLS LLP

1800-1801 Hollis St. Halifax, NS B3J 3N4

Jason Cooke

Ashley Hamp-GonsalvesTel: (902) 422-5374
Fax: (902) 420-9326

Email: jcooke@burchells.ca

ahampgonsalves@burchells.ca

Counsel for NunatuKavut Community Council

WILLIAM B. HENDERSON

Barrister & Solicitor 3014 - 88 Bloor St East Toronto, ON M4W 3G9

Tel: (416) 413-9878

Email: <u>lawyer@bloorstreet.com</u>

Counsel for Lands Advisory Board

POWER LAW

99 Bank Street, Suite 701 Ottawa, ON K1P 6B9

Jonathan Laxer

Tel: (613) 907-5652 Fax: (613) 907-5652 Email: <u>jlaxer@powerlaw.ca</u>

Agent for Counsel for NunatuKavut

Community Council

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP

340 Gilmour Street, Suite 100 Ottawa, ON K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major

Tel: (613) 695-8855 Ext: 102

Fax: (613) 695-8580

Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Agent for Counsel for Lands Advisory Board

PAPE SALTER TEILLET LLP

546 Euclid Avenue Toronto, ON M6G 2T2

Jason T. Madden Alexander DeParde

Tel: (416) 916-3853 Fax: (416) 916-3726 Email: <u>jmadden@pstlaw.ca</u>

adeparde@pstlaw.ca

CASSELS BROCK & BLACKWELL LLP

885 West Georgia Street, Suite 2200 Vancouver, BC V6C 3E8

Emilie N. Lahaie

Tel: (778) 372-7651 Fax: (604) 691-6120 Email: elahaie@cassels.com

Counsel for Métis National Council, Métis Nation-Saskatchewan, Métis Nation of Alberta, Métis Nation British Columbia, Métis Nation of Ontario and Les femmes Michif Otipemisiwak

PAPE SALTER TEILLET LLP

546 Euclid Avenue Toronto, ON M6G 2T2

Zachary Davis Riley Weyman

Tel: (416) 427-0337 Fax: (416) 916-3726 Email: <u>zdavis@pstlaw.ca</u>

rweyman@pstlaw.ca

Counsel for Listuguj Mi'Gmaq Government

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

2600 - 160 Elgin Street Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3

Matthew Estabrooks

Tel: (613) 786-0211 Fax: (613) 788-3573

Email: matthew.estabrooks@gowlingwlg.com

Agent for Counsel for Métis National Council, Métis Nation-Saskatchewan, Métis Nation of Alberta, Métis Nation British Columbia, Métis Nation of Ontario and Les femmes Michif Otipemisiwak

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

2600 - 160 Elgin Street Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3

Matthew Estabrooks

Tel: (613) 786-0211 Fax: (613) 788-3573

Email: matthew.estabrooks@gowlingwlg.com

Agent for Counsel for Listuguj Mi'Gmaq

Government

PALIARE, ROLAND, ROSENBERG, ROTHSTEIN, LLP

155 Wellington Street West, 35th Floor Toronto, ON M5V 3H1

Andrew K. Lokan

Tel: (416) 646-4324 Fax: (416) 646-4301

Email: andrew.lokan@paliareroland.com

Counsel for the Congress of Aboriginal

Peoples

PUBLIC INTEREST LAW CENTRE

100 - 287 Broadway Winnipeg, MB R3C 0R9

Joëlle Pastora Sala Allison Fenske

Tel: (204) 985-9735 Fax: (204) 985-8544 Email: jopas@pilc.mb.ca

FIRST NATIONS FAMILY ADVOCATE OFFICE

200-286 Smith Street Winnipeg, MB R3C 1K4

Maximilian Griffin-Rill Adrienne Cooper

Tel: (204) 957-8463

Email: <u>mgriffin-rill@manitobachiefs.com</u> acooper@manitobachiefs.com

Counsel for First Nations Family Advocate Office

DENTONS CANADA LLP

99 Bank Street, Suite 1420 Ottawa, ON K1P 1H4

David R. Elliott

Tel: (613) 783-9699 Fax: (613) 783-9690

Email: david.elliott@dentons.com

Agent for Counsel for the Congress of Aboriginal Peoples

JURISTES POWER

50, O'Connor Street, Suite 1313 Ottawa, ON K1P 6B9

Darius Bossé

Tel: (613) 702-5566 Fax: (613) 702-5566

Email: <u>DBosse@juristespower.ca</u>

Agent for Counsel for First Nations Family Advocate Office

TORYS LLP

79 Wellington Street, 30th Floor Box 270, TD Centre Toronto, ON M5K 1N2

David Outerbridge Craig Gilchrist Rebecca Amoah

Tel: (416) 865-7825 Fax: (416) 865-7380

Email: douterbridge@torys.com

cgilchrist@torys.com ramoah@torys.com

Counsel for Assembly of Manitoba Chiefs

RATCLIFF LLP

500-221 West Esplanade North Vancouver, BC V7M 3J3

Maegen M. Giltrow, K.C.

Natalia Sudeyko

Tel: (604) 988-5201 Fax: (604) 988-1452

Email: mgiltrow@ratcliff.com

Counsel for First Nations of the Maa-

Nulth Treaty Society

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

550 Burrard Street Suite 2300, Bentall 5 Vancouver, BC V6C 2B5

Aaron Christoff Brent Murphy

Tel: (604) 443-7685 Fax: (604) 683-3558

Email: aaron.christoff@gowlingwlg.com

brent.murphy@gowlingwlg.com

Counsel for Tribal Chiefs Ventures Inc.

CHAMP AND ASSOCIATES

43 Florence Street Ottawa, ON K2P 0W6

Bijon Roy

Tel: (613) 237-4740 Fax: (613) 232-2680 Email: broy@champlaw.ca

Agent for Counsel for First Nations of the

Maa-Nulth Treaty Society

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

160 Elgin Street, Suite 2600 Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3

Marie-Christine Gagnon

Tel: (613) 786-0086 Fax: (613) 563-9869

Email: Marie-

Christine.Gagnon@ca.gowlingwlg.com

Agent for Counsel for Tribal Chiefs

Ventures Inc.

OLTHUIS VAN ERT

66 Lisgar Street Ottawa, ON K2P 0C1

Gib van Ert Fraser Harland

Tel: (613) 501-5350 Fax: (613) 651-0304

Email: gvanert@ovcounsel.com

LAFOND & MACK LAW GROUP

7297 West Saanich Road Saanichton, BC V8M 1R7

Me Mary Ellen Turpel-Lafond

Tel: (250) 213-2904

Email: metl@lmlawgroup.ca

Counsel for Union of British Columbia Indian Chiefs, First Nations Summit of British Columbia and British Columbia Assembly of First Nations

GOLDBLATT PARTNERS LLP

20 Dundas Street West, Suite 1100 Toronto, ON M5G 2G8

Jessica Orkin Natai Shelsen

Tel: (416) 977-6070 Fax: (416) 591-7333

Email: jorkin@goldblattpartners.com

nshelsen@goldblattpartners.com

DAVID ASPER CENTRE FOR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

University of Toronto, Faculty of Law 78 Queen's Park Toronto, ON M4S 2C5

Cheryl Milne

Tel: (416) 978-0092

Email: cheryl.milne@utoronto.ca

Counsel for David Asper Centre for Constitutional Rights

GOLDBLATT PARTNERS LLP

500-30 Metcalfe St. Ottawa, ON K1P 5L4

Colleen Bauman

Tel: (613) 482-2463 Fax: (613) 235-5327

Email: cbauman@goldblattpartners.com

Agent for Counsel David Asper Centre for Constitutional Rights

CAIN LAMARRE

814, boul. Saint Joseph Roberval, QC G8H 2L5

François G. Tremblay Benoît Amyot

Tel: (418) 545-4580 Fax: (418) 549-9590

Email: notification.cain.saguenay@clcw.ca

Counsel for Regroupement Petapan

MCCARTHY TÉTRAULT LLP

TD Bank Tower, Suite 5300 Toronto, ON M5K 1E6

Jesse Hartery Simon Bouthillier

Tel: (416) 362-1812 Fax: (416) 868-0673

Email: <u>jhartery@mccarthy.ca</u> sbouthillier@mccarthy.ca

Counsel for Canadian Constitution Foundation

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

550 Burrard Street Suite 2300, Bentall 5 Vancouver, BC V6C 2B5

Scott A. Smith

Tel: (604) 891-2764 Fax: (604) 443-6784

Email: scott.smith@gowlingwlg.com

Counsel for Carrier Sekani Family Services Society, Cheslatta Carrier Nation, Nadleh Whuten, Saik'uz First Nation and Stellat'en First Nation

CONWAY BAXTER WILSON LLP

400 - 411 Roosevelt Avenue Ottawa, ON K2A 3X9

Marion Sandilands

Tel: (613) 288-0149 Fax: (613) 688-0271

Email: msandilands@conway.pro

Agent for Counsel for Regroupement

Petapan

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

160 Elgin Street, Suite 2600 Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3

Jeffrey W. Beedell

Tel: (613) 786-0171 Fax: (613) 563-9869

Email: jeff.beedell@gowlingwlg.com

Agent for Counsel for Carrier Sekani Family Services Society, Cheslatta Carrier Nation, Nadleh Whuten, Saik'uz First Nation and Stellat'en First Nation

SIMARD BOIVIN LEMIEUX,

S.E.N.C.R.L.

1150, boul. Saint-Félicien, Bureau 106 Saint-Félicien, QC G8K 2W5

Kevin Ajmo

Tel: (418) 679-8888 Fax: (514) 679-8902

Email: k.ajmo@sblavocats.com

Counsel for Council Atikamekw d'Opitciwan

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

550 Burrard Street, Suite 2300 Vancouver, BC V6C 2B5

Maxime Faille

Tel: (604) 891-2733 Fax: (604) 443-6786

Email: maxime.faille@gowlingwlg.com

Counsel for Vancouver Aboriginal Child and Family Services Society

FALCONERS LLP

10 Alcorn Avenue, Suite 204 Toronto, ON M4V 3A9

Julian N. Falconer

Tel: (416) 964-0495 Ext : 222

Fax: (416) 929-8179 Email: julianf@falconers.ca

Counsel for Nishnawbe Aski Nation

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

160 Elgin Street, Suite 2600 Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3

Jeffrey W. Beedell

Tel: (613) 786-0171 Fax: (613) 563-9869

Email: jeff.beedell@gowlingwlg.com

Agent for Counsel for Vancouver Aboriginal Child and Family Services

Society

SUPREME LAW GROUP

1800 - 275 Slater Street Ottawa, ON K1P 5H9

Moira Dillon

Tel: (613) 691-1224 Fax: (613) 691-1338

Email: mdillon@supremelawgroup.ca

Agent for Counsel for Nishnawbe Aski

Nation

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

Legal Division, Department of Justice 4903 - 49th Street, P.O. Box 1320 Yellowknife, NWT X1A 2L9

Trisha Paradis Sandra Jungles John C.T. Inglis

Tel: (867) 767-9257 Fax: (867) 873-0234

Email: Trisha Paradis@gov.nt.ca

Sandra.jungles@gov.nt.ca John_inglis@gov.nt.ca

Counsel for the Attorney General of the Northwest Territories

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

160 Elgin Street, Suite 2600 Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3

D. Lynne Watt

Tel: (613) 786-8695 Fax: (613) 788-3509

Email: lynne.watt@gowlingwlg.com

Agent for Counsel for the Attorney General of the Northwest Territories

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART	`I – OVERVIEW	1	
PART	II – POSITIONS ON THE ISSUES	1	
PART	III – STATEMENT OF ARGUMENT	2	
A.	Existing jurisprudence recognizes generic rights that protect space for the operation of Indigenous legal orders	2	
B.	The scope of an Aboriginal right to self-government under section 35	6	
C.	UNDRIP recognizes a right to self-determination rooted in Indigenous legal orders		
PART	S IV AND V - SUBMISSIONS ON COSTS AND ORDER REQUESTED	10	
PART VI – TABLE OF AUTHORITIES			

PART I – OVERVIEW

- 1. Chiefs of Ontario ("COO") is a not-for-profit political organization made up of the Chiefs of each of the 133 First Nations in Ontario. COO's mission is to support the Anishinaabe, Mushkegowuk, Onkwehonwe and Lenape Peoples in their efforts to protect and exercise their inherent and Treaty rights. This includes their inherent right to self-determination and self-government, which flow from their relationship to their lands and their Creator.
- 2. In this appeal, this Honourable Court is asked to decide whether section 35(1) of the Constitution Act, 1982 protects the right to self-government and Indigenous law-making over child and family services. COO submits that this Court's jurisprudence already recognizes a series of "generic rights" rights of uniform content that are held by all First Nations. These rights are built on a common foundation: they arise out of and protect space for the continued operation of Indigenous legal orders. It follows from both this body of jurisprudence and the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples ("UNDRIP") that section 35 protects a right to self-government and Indigenous law-making, including over child and family services.
- 3. Contrary to what the Attorney General of Canada submits, the right to self-government should not be limited to matters that are "necessary to ensure the survival and flourishing" of an Indigenous community "as a distinctive Indigenous community". COO submits that such an approach is inconsistent with the doctrine of continuity and would result in repetition of the problems that have arisen as a result of the narrow interpretation that some courts and governments have given to *Van der Peet*.

PART II – POSITION ON THE ISSUES

- 4. This appeal raises the following issues:
 - (a) Are sections 1-17 of An Act respecting First Nations, Inuit and Métis children, youth and families, S.C. 2019, c. 24 (the "Act") valid under the division of powers and the architecture of the Constitution?
 - (b) Are sections 8 and 18 to 26 of the Act valid under Part V of the *Constitution Act*, 1982, and the architecture of the *Constitution*?
- 5. COO intervenes on the second issue. COO's position is that section 18 of the Act provides a mechanism to implement what section 35 of the *Constitution Act*, 1982 already protects: the inherent right to self-government and living Indigenous legal orders.

PART III -STATEMENT OF ARGUMENT

- 6. In *R v. Van der Peet*, this Court set out its initial account of the rights that fall within the scope of section 35 of the *Constitution Act*, 1982. Narrowly interpreted, *Van der Peet* stands for the proposition that section 35 protects only the specific practices, customs and traditions that were integral to the distinctive cultures of Indigenous peoples prior to contact with Europeans. ¹
- 7. However, COO submits that set in the context of this Court's section 35 jurisprudence as a whole and its evolution over the past 25 years, *Van der Peet* does not limit the scope of section 35 so narrowly. Rather, this Court's jurisprudence and Canada's international legal commitments both signal that section 35 includes a set of generic rights that protect the legal orders of Indigenous Nations, including the right to self-government.

A. Existing jurisprudence recognizes generic rights that protect space for the operation of Indigenous legal orders

- 8. The doctrine of Aboriginal rights under section 35 of the *Constitution Act, 1982* is, by its nature, an inter-systemic doctrine. Before the Crown asserted sovereignty, there were no "Aboriginal rights" as we know them today; there were only Indigenous legal orders and the rights and responsibilities they created. The doctrine of Aboriginal rights arises from the interaction between Indigenous legal orders and the common law.²
- 9. The traditional position of the common law has been to recognize and leave space for the continued operation of Indigenous legal orders. As Chief Justice McLachlin explained in her dissenting judgment in *Van der Peet*, although this core principle has not always been honoured, the "golden thread" in the interaction between Indigenous legal orders and the common law is "the recognition by the common law of the ancestral laws and customs [of] the aboriginal peoples who occupied the land prior to European settlement."³
- 10. Prior to the Crown's assertion of sovereignty, Indigenous Nations were self-governing political entities with jurisdiction over their territories and citizens.⁴ They operated under their own legal orders, which governed community decision-making processes, guided the actions of

¹ R. v. Van der Peet, [1996] 2 SCR 507, at para 46.

² Brian Slattery, "What are Aboriginal Rights?" (2007) Comparative Research in Law & Political Economy, Research Paper No. 1/2007 1 at 25.

³ R. v. Van der Peet, [1996] 2 SCR 507, at para 263, per McLachlin J (dissenting).

⁴ Kent McNeil, "<u>The Jurisdiction of Inherent Right Aboriginal Governments</u>", (2007) Research Paper for the National Centre for First Nations Governance 1 at 19-20.

individual community members, and set the terms for how each Nation would interact with its neighbouring Nations.⁵ When the British asserted sovereignty, a general principle of continuity applied so that the common law preserved these customary legal orders and the rights and responsibilities they created unless they were expressly changed.⁶ Significantly, "since the [Indigenous] custom derives legitimacy not from immemorial usage but from a preceding legal regime", after the assertion of Crown sovereignty an Indigenous customary law "may be capable of alteration by the [Indigenous] community within which it applies." In other words, the doctrine of continuity extends to protect Indigenous legal orders as a living tree that can change and evolve, not just Indigenous laws as they existed at the assertion of Crown sovereignty.

- 11. In 1982, section 35 of the *Constitution Act, 1982* protected these "existing" legal orders and gave them a formal place within Canada's constitutional architecture.⁸ As a result, section 35, already gives constitutional protection to the continued existence of Indigenous legal orders and constitutional space for the laws those orders create within Canada's constitutional framework.
- 12. The doctrine of continuity is reflected in this Court's jurisprudence as a series of "generic" rights that fall within the ambit of section 35. Generic rights are rights that are universal in distribution (held by each Indigenous Nation) and uniform in content (in the sense that each Nation has a similar right, even if each exercises it in a different way and in accordance with its own specific traditions). While the outer contours of these rights are defined and enforced by the

⁵ Val Napoleon, "What Is Indigenous Law? A Small Discussion" (2016) Indigenous Law Research Unit 1 at 2; Senwung Luk, "Confounding Concepts: The Judicial Definition of the Constitutional Protection of the Aboriginal Right to Self-Government in Canada" (2009) 41:1 Ottawa L. Rev. 101 at 103; Brian Slattery, "Making Sense of Aboriginal and Treaty Rights" (2000) 79:2 Can. Bar Rev. 196 at 198.

⁶ On the doctrine of continuity, see: *R. v. Desautel*, <u>2021 SCC 17</u>, at para. <u>68</u>; *Mitchell. v. Minister of National Revenue*, <u>[2001] 1 SCR 911</u>, <u>2001 SCC 33</u>, at paras. 10 and 62; Mark Walters, "<u>The 'Golden Thread' of Continuity: Aboriginal Customs at Common Law and Under the Constitution Act, 1982," (1999) 44 McGill L.J. 711 at 715-719.</u>

⁷ Mark Walters, "The 'Golden Thread' of Continuity: Aboriginal Customs at Common Law and Under the Constitution Act, 1982," (1999) 44 McGill L.J. 711 at 720, citing *Hineiti Rirerire Arani v. Public Trustee Zealand*, (1919), [1920] A.C. 198, [1840-1932] NZPC.C. 1 (P.C.) at 6.

⁸ R. v. Sparrow, [1990] 1 SCR 1075, at 1091; R. v. Côté, [1996] 3 SCR 139, at 174; Brian Slattery, "Making Sense of Aboriginal and Treaty Rights" (2000) 79:2 Can. Bar Rev. 196 at 205.

⁹ Renvoi à la Cour d'appel du Québec relatif à la Loi concernant les enfants, les jeunes et les familles des Premières Nations, des Inuits et des Métis, 2022 QCCA 185, at paras 487-488.

Brian Slattery, "Making Sense of Aboriginal and Treaty Rights" (2000) 79:2 Can. Bar Rev. 196 at 211.

common law and section 35 of the *Constitution Act, 1982*, the rights arise from and provide space for diverse Indigenous legal orders.

- 13. There are at least three generic rights which have already been recognized by this Court. The first is the right to enter treaties with the Crown. ¹⁰ This right is generic in the sense that all Nations hold it, and it has the same basic contours. While for the Crown, the power to enter treaty flows from the Royal Prerogative ¹¹, for Indigenous Nations the power to enter treaty flows from pre-existing Indigenous laws that governed their relationships with other Indigenous Nations and with other parts of Creation. ¹² For example, discussing the correct interpretation of the Robinson-Huron and Robinson-Superior Treaties, the Ontario Superior Court in *Restoule* characterized the two Treaties as "sacred agreements that brought the [Crown] newcomers into the existing relationship the Anishinaabe had will [*sic*] all of creation. ¹³ This reflects an Anishinaabe legal framework for entering into a treaty relationship.
- 14. The power to enter treaty in accordance with Indigenous laws survived the assertion of Crown sovereignty and persists to this day. The treaties that result are a form of inter-societal law that brings together the Crown's authority rooted in its law and the Indigenous Nation's authority rooted in its law into a new relationship that gives voice to both legal traditions.¹⁴
- 15. The second category of generic right is Aboriginal title the right of an Indigenous Nation to exclusively use and benefit from the land it held prior to the assertion of Crown sovereignty.¹⁵

¹⁰ Simon v. The Queen, [1985] 2 SCR 387; R. v. Sioui, [1990] 1 SCR 1025; Brian Slattery, "What are Aboriginal Rights?" (2007) Comparative Research in Law & Political Economy, Research Paper No. 1/2007 1 at 9-10.

¹¹ Brian Slattery, "What are Aboriginal Rights?" (2007), Comparative Research in Law & Political Economy, Research Paper No. 1/2007 1 at 10.

¹² Heidi Kiiwetinepinesiik Stark, "Respect, Responsibility, and Renewal: The Foundations of Anishinaabe Treaty Making with the United States and Canada" (2010) 34:2 American Indian Culture and Research J. 145 at 147-148; Brian Slattery, "Making Sense of Aboriginal and Treaty Rights" (2000) 79:2 Can. Bar Rev. 196 at 208; Restoule v. Canada (Attorney General), 2018 ONSC 7701, at paras. 65-67, 89-91, affirmed on appeal, 2021 ONCA 779, leave to appeal granted, [2022] S.C.C.A. No. 5.

¹³ Restoule v. Canada, 2018 ONSC 7701, at para 414, affirmed on appeal, 2021 ONCA 779, leave to appeal granted, [2022] S.C.C.A. No. 5.

¹⁴ Brian Slattery, "What are Aboriginal Rights?" (2007) Comparative Research in Law & Political Economy, Research Paper No. 1/2007 1 at 10; Restoule v. Canada (Attorney General), 2018 ONSC 7701, at paras 65, 89-91, affirmed on appeal, 2021 ONCA 779, leave to appeal granted, [2022] S.C.C.A. No. 5.

¹⁵ Delgamuukw v. British Columbia, [1997] 3 SCR 1010, at para 117.

Aboriginal title is a generic right, in the sense that it is potentially available to all Indigenous Nations and always gives rise to the same basic bundle of rights. Like the right to enter treaties, Aboriginal title is rooted partially in Indigenous laws and partially in the Crown's common law. It arises both from the fact of physical occupation of the land by Indigenous peoples in accordance with the common law of title, and from pre-existing Indigenous legal orders. ¹⁶ Because it finds its source partially in Indigenous legal orders, Indigenous land tenure systems, laws governing land use and trespass laws can all be relied upon to help establish title. ¹⁷

16. Once it is established, Aboriginal title protects space for the continued operation of Indigenous legal orders on Aboriginal title lands. For example, in *Delgamuukw*, Chief Justice Lamer observed that "[d]ecisions with respect to [lands subject to Aboriginal title] are also made by that community."¹⁸ As Professor Slattery points out, this has two significant implications:

First, the manner in which the members of the group use their aboriginal lands is presumptively governed by the internal law of the group. So, in effect, the concept of aboriginal title supplies a protective legal umbrella, in the shelter of which customary land law may develop and flourish. Second, since decisions with respect to the lands must be made by the community, there must be some internal structure for communal decision-making. ¹⁹

The result is that section 35 keeps the Crown from interfering with title lands, while leaving space for Indigenous law to define how those lands are used, and how decisions are made about them. ²⁰

17. Third, *Van der Peet* properly understood protects a generic right to preserve the essential cultural practices and customs that are at the heart of an Indigenous Nation's culture.²¹ Though each Nation will have its own distinctive practices and customs, every Nation is entitled to preserve key aspects of their culture free from interference. This often includes traditional methods of earning a livelihood,²² for example, through harvesting fish and game. How this harvesting

¹⁶ Delgamuukw v. British Columbia, [1997] 3 SCR 1010, at para 114.

¹⁷ Delgamuukw v. British Columbia, [1997] 3 SCR 1010, at paras 148, 157.

¹⁸ Delgamuukw v. British Columbia, [1997] 3 SCR 1010, at para 115.

¹⁹ Brian Slattery, "Making Sense of Aboriginal Rights" (2000) 79:2 Can. Bar Rev. 196 at 215.

²⁰ Kent McNeil, "<u>Indigenous Law and Aboriginal Title</u>" (2016) 13:1 Osgoode Hall Law School Legal Studies Research Paper Series 1 at 8-9.

²¹ R v. Van der Peet, [1996] 2 SCR 507; Brian Slattery, "What are Aboriginal Rights?" (2007) Comparative Research in Law & Political Economy. Research Paper No. 1/2007 1 at 8.

²² R v. Sappier; R v. Gray, [2006] 2 SCR 686, at paras <u>37-40</u>, <u>45</u>.

happens, by whom and when, is governed by Indigenous law.²³ Section 35 protects Indigenous people from laws that will interfere with their harvesting practices, leaving space for these Indigenous laws to continue to operate, and to evolve in response to new circumstances.

18. COO submits that recognizing an Aboriginal right to self-government is a necessary and incremental next step in this established tradition. Over 25 years ago, the Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples set out the argument for taking this step clearly and cogently, when it stated:

The basic argument in favour of a constitutional right of self-government is relatively straightforward. At the time of European contact, Aboriginal peoples were sovereign and independent peoples, possessing their own territories, political systems and customary laws. Although colonial rule modified this situation, it did not deprive Aboriginal peoples of their inherent right of self-government, which formed an integral part of their cultures. This right continued to exist, in the absence of clear and plain legislation to the contrary. [...] As a result, the inherent right of self-government was recognized and affirmed in section 35(1) of the *Constitution Act, 1982* as an existing Aboriginal or treaty-protected right. This constitutional right assumes a contemporary form, one that takes account of the changes that have occurred since contact, the modern needs of Aboriginal peoples, and the existence of a federal system in Canada.²⁴

B. The scope of an Aboriginal right to self-government under section 35

- 19. COO submits that the generic right to self government under section 35 should accord each Indigenous Nation a full box of rights to govern its people and territory. This includes, particularly but not only, jurisdiction to make, administer and enforce laws about how best to support the well-being of children and families.
- 20. The Attorney General of Canada has argued that the scope of the right to self-government stretches only to those matters that are necessary to ensuring the survival of an Indigenous Nation as a distinctive community.²⁵ COO submits that the narrow and stringent approach proposed by the Attorney General would repeat past mistakes and create new problems.
- 21. First, it is an uneasy fit with the doctrine of continuity. This doctrine provides that preexisting Indigenous legal orders and rights created under those legal orders survived the assertion of Crown sovereignty. Canadian law has suggested there may be an exception to this principle for

²³ Val Napolean, "What is Indigenous Law? A Small Discussion" (2016) Indigenous Law Research Unit 1 at 2.

²⁴ Report of the Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples, <u>Vol 2: Restructuring the Relationship</u> (1996) 1 at 191.

²⁵ Factum of the Attorney General of Canada, at para 162.

laws and law-making powers that are inconsistent with the Crown's sovereignty.²⁶ This exceptional category, if it exists, is narrow.²⁷ There are a range of areas of decision-making that may not be strictly necessary to the survival of an Indigenous Nation as a distinctive community but are nonetheless important parts of meaningful self-government, such as systems of family regulation like marriage and divorce, rules for distribution and sharing of resources within communities and families, written election laws, and formal education systems. Unless the Crown can point to some mechanism by which Indigenous law-making power in these areas was "extinguished", according to the doctrine of continuity, jurisdiction in these areas persists.

- 22. Second, the Attorney General's approach risks repeating some of the more notorious problems with interpretation and implementation of the *Van der Peet* decision. *Van der Peet* has been criticized for encouraging reliance on racialized stereotypes about what makes an Indigenous Nation "what it was" prior to contact, ²⁸ and for "freezing rights" in a way that "ignore[s] the dynamic nature of [Indigenous]" peoples and their laws. ²⁹ Although the Attorney General's approach helpfully abandons the pre-contact lens, the proposal to limit self-government to areas "necessary" to the survival of an Indigenous Nation as a distinctive community still requires Canadian courts or governments to determine for an Indigenous Nation what are the "necessary" aspects of an Indigenous Nation's culture. The past twenty-five years of experience since *Van der Peet* suggest these courts and governments are ill-equipped for this role.
- 23. Third, the Attorney General's proposed test continues to fragment the right of self-government into piece-meal jurisdictions, each of which Indigenous Nations will have to show is "necessary" to their survival through time consuming and expensive litigation.³⁰ This leaves the

²⁶ Mitchell v. Minister of National Revenue, [2001] 1 SCR 911, 2001 SCC 33, at paras 10, 62, 64, although this argument was not relied on by the majority.

²⁷ Mitchell v. Minister of National Revenue, [2001] 1 SCR 911, 2001 SCC 33, at para 151.

²⁸ John Borrows and Leonard I. Rotman, "<u>The Sui Generis Nature of Aboriginal Rights: Does it Make a Difference?</u>" (1997) 36:1 Alberta L. R. 9 at 36.

²⁹ Kent McNeil, "<u>The Jurisdiction of Inherent Right Aboriginal Governments</u>" (2007) Research Paper for the National Centre for First Nations Governance 1 at 13-14.

³⁰ See, Kent McNeil, "<u>The Jurisdiction of Inherent Right Aboriginal Governments</u>" (2007) Research Paper for the National Centre for First Nations Governance 1 at 13-33.

right as an empty, rather than a full, box.³¹ This is not consistent with the development of law and policy on this issue, nor with international legal principle as detailed below.

24. COO submits that an appropriate approach is animated by the principle that after the assertion of Crown sovereignty, Indigenous Nations each preserved a "full box" of self-government rights to make laws about their own Nations. It would then be open to the Crown to show that these rights were in some way limited.

C. UNDRIP recognizes a right to self-determination rooted in Indigenous legal orders

- 25. UNDRIP further supports the conclusion that section 35 protects the exercise of self-government rooted in Indigenous legal orders.
- 26. UNDRIP provides that self-government is a human right held by all Indigenous peoples by virtue of their status as peoples.³² UNDRIP sets out the following protections for this right:
 - (a) Art. 3 guarantees "Indigenous peoples [...] the right to self-determination. By virtue of this right, they freely determine their political status and freely pursue their economic, social and cultural development."
 - (b) Art. 4 states that "Indigenous peoples [...] have the right to autonomy or self-government in matters relating to their internal and local affairs, as well as ways and means for financing their autonomous functions."
 - (c) Art. 5 provides that "Indigenous peoples have the right to maintain and strengthen their distinct political, legal, economic, social and cultural institutions, while retaining their right to participate fully, if they so choose, in the political, economic, social and cultural life of the State."
- 27. A conception of section 35 that says Indigenous peoples can only exercise self-government powers if they can show those powers were tied to narrow "practices, customs or traditions integral to their distinctive culture" prior to the arrival of Europeans is not consistent with the broad right

³¹ John Borrows and Leonard I Rotman, "<u>The Sui Generis Nature of Aboriginal Rights: Does it Make a Difference?</u>" (1997) 36:1 Alberta L. R. 9 at 33, fn 131.

³² S James Anaya, "The Right of Indigenous Peoples to Self-Determination in the Post-Declaration Era" in Charters & Stavenhagen, eds, <u>Making the Declaration Work: The United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples</u> (Copenhagen: International Work Group for International Affairs, 2009) 184 at 186-187; John Borrows, "Revitalizing Canada's Indigenous Constitution: Two Challenges" in <u>UNDRIP Implementation: Braiding International, Domestic and Indigenous Laws</u> (Waterloo, ON: CIGI, 2017) 20 at 21.

to self-government set out in Articles 3 to 5 of UNDRIP.³³ Rather, under UNDRIP, the right to self-government is: a) available to *all* Indigenous peoples; b) in relation to *all* their internal affairs; c) which they may exercise in accordance with and as a manifestation of their own laws.

- 28. UNDRIP defines Indigenous peoples' rights according to Indigenous peoples' own laws.³⁴ For example, UNDRIP protects Indigenous peoples' rights to practice and revitalize cultural traditions;³⁵ to their lands;³⁶ and to determine the membership of their nations³⁷ in part by requiring states to respect and protect Indigenous laws governing those matters. The Special Rapporteur observes that the maintenance of Indigenous peoples' laws and legal institutions are an essential aspect of their right to self-determination.³⁸ As Professor John Borrows points out, these UNDRIP articles signal that Indigenous peoples' own laws must be treated as a prominent part of the architecture of section 35.³⁹
- 29. This Court has repeatedly sought to ensure that the fundamental human rights set out in the *Charter of Rights and Freedoms* are interpreted in a manner that is consistent with Canada's international obligations and the relevant principles of international law.⁴⁰ The *Charter* has been "presumed to provide at least as great a level of protection as is found in the international human

³³ John Borrows, "Revitalizing Canada's Indigenous Constitution: Two Challenges" in <u>UNDRIP Implementation: Braiding International, Domestic and Indigenous Laws</u> (Waterloo, ON: CIGI, 2017) 20 at 23.

³⁴ Brenda L. Gunn, "Beyond *Van der Peet*: Bringing Together International, Indigenous and Constitutional Law" in *UNDRIP Implementation: Braiding International, Domestic and Indigenous Laws* (Waterloo, ON: CIGI, 2017) 29 at 33-34.

^{35 &}lt;u>UNDRIP</u>, Articles 11(1) and 11(2).

³⁶ <u>UNDRIP</u>, Article 26(3).

³⁷ <u>UNDRIP</u>, Articles 33(1) and 33(2).

³⁸ "Report of the Special Rapporteur of the Human Rights Council on the rights of indigenous peoples" (21 July 2017), A/72/186, at para 61.

John Borrows, "Revitalizing Canada's Indigenous Constitution: Two Challenges" in <u>UNDRIP Implementation: Braiding International, Domestic and Indigenous Laws</u> (Waterloo, ON: CIGI, 2017) 20 at 23; Brenda L. Gunn, "Beyond *Van der Peet*: Bringing Together International, Indigenous and Constitutional Law" in <u>UNDRIP Implementation: Braiding International, Domestic and Indigenous Laws</u> (Waterloo, ON: CIGI, 2017) 29 at 35-36; "Report of the Special Rapporteur of the Human Rights Council on the rights of indigenous peoples" (21 July 2017), A/72/186, at para 61.

⁴⁰ R v. Hape, [2007] 2 SCR 292, 2007 SCC 26, at para 55; Saskatchewan Federation of Labour v. Saskatchewan, [2015] 1 SCR 245, 2015 SCC 4, at para 64.

rights documents that Canada has ratified". ⁴¹ The rights set out in section 35 are no less foundational and should be subject to the same interpretive principle.

30. UNDRIP is a declaration of basic human rights principles, akin to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Parliament has committed to ensuring that Canadian law is consistent with UNDRIP, and passed legislation affirming the Declaration as a universal human rights instrument with application in Canada. In taking this step, the Minister of Indigenous and Northern Affairs commented that Canada intended nothing less than to adopt and implement the declaration in accordance with the Canadian constitution and to breath [e] life into section 35... recognizing it now as a full box of rights for Indigenous people in Canada. In line with this, this Court should interpret section 35 in a manner that is consistent with the protections set out in UNDRIP by recognizing a generic right to self-government rooted in Indigenous legal orders.

PARTS IV AND V - SUBMISSIONS ON COSTS AND ORDER REQUESTED

- 31. Chiefs of Ontario does not seek costs and requests that none be awarded against it.
- 32. Chiefs of Ontario does not take a position on the ultimate disposition of the appeal.

ALL OF WHICH IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED THIS 11TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2022

Maggie Wente, Krista Nerland and Jesse Abell

Counsel for the Interveners, Chiefs of Ontario

⁴¹ Divito v. Canada (Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness), [2013] 3 SCR 157, 2013 SCC 47, at para 234.

⁴² S James Anaya, "The Right of Indigenous Peoples to Self-Determination in the Post-Declaration Era" in Claire Charters & Rodolfo Stavenhagen, eds, <u>Making the Declaration Work:</u> <u>The United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples</u> (Copenhagen: International Work Group for International Affairs, 2009) 184 at 186-187.

⁴³United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples Act, <u>SC 2021</u>, c 14, s <u>5</u>.

⁴⁴ United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples Act, SC 2021, c 14, s 4(a).

⁴⁵ Minister of Indigenous and Northern Affairs Carolyn Bennett, "<u>Announcement of Canada's Support for the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples</u>" (Statement delivered at the 15th Session of the United Nations Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues, 10 May 2016).

⁴⁶ See *R v. Hape*, [2007] 2 SCR 292, 2007 SCC 26, at para 55.

PART VI – TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES	PARAGRAPH REFERENCE
Divito v. Canada (Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness), [2013] 3 SCR 157, 2013 SCC 47	29
Delgamuukw v. British Columbia, [1997] 3 SCR 1010	15, 16
Mitchell v. Minister of National Revenue., [2001] 1 SCR 911, 2001 SCC 33	10, 21
R. v. Côté, [1996] 3 SCR 139	11
R v. Desautel, <u>2021 SCC 17</u>	10
R v. Hape, [2007] 2 SCR 292, 2007 SCC 26	29, 30
R v. Sappier; R v. Gray, [2006] 2 SCR 686, 2006 SCC 54	17
R v. Sioui, [1990] 1 SCR 1025	13
R v. Sparrow, [1990] 1 SCR 1075	11
R v. Van der Peet, [1996] 2 SCR 507	6, 7, 9, 17, 22
Renvoi à la Cour d'appel du Québec relatif à la Loi concernant les enfants, les jeunes et les familles des Premières Nations, des Inuits et des Métis, 2022 QCCA 185	12
Restoule v. Canada (Attorney General), 2018 ONSC 770, affirmed on appeal, 2021 ONCA 779, leave to appeal granted, [2022] S.C.C.A. No. 5	13, 14
Saskatchewan Federation of Labour v. Saskatchewan, [2015] 1 SCR 245, 2015 SCC 4	29
Simon v. The Queen, [1985] 2 SCR 387	13

LEGISLATION	SECTION REFERENCE
An Act respecting First Nations, Inuit and Métis children, youth and families, S.C. 2019, c. 24 Loi concernant les Enfants, les jeunes et les familles des Premières Nations, des Inuits et des Métis, LC 2019, c 24	1-26
The Constitution Act, 1982, Schedule B to the Canada Act 1982 (UK), 1982, c 11 Loi constitutionnelle de 1982, Annexe B de la Loi de 1982 sur le Canada (R-U), 1982, c 11	35
United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples Act, <u>SC</u> 2021, c 14 Loi sur la Déclaration des Nations Unies sur les droits des peuples autochtones, <u>LC 2021, c 14</u>	4(a), 5
<u>United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples</u> . GA Res 295, UNGAOR, 61 st Sess, Supp No 49, UN Doc A/. RES/61/295, 46 ILM 1013 (2007).	3-5, 11(1), 11(2), 26(3), 33(1), 33(2)
Déclaration des Nations Unies sur les Droits des Peuples Autochtones, A/RES/61/295 (13 septembre 2007)	
OTHER	PARAGRAPH REFERENCE
Brenda L. Gunn, "Beyond Van Der Peet: Bringing Together International, Indigenous and Constitutional Law," in Oonagh Fitzgerald, John Borrows, Larry Chartrand & Risa Schwartz eds, <u>UNDRIP Implementation: Braiding International, Domestic and Indigenous Laws</u> (Waterloo: Centre for International Governance Innovation, 2017) 29	28
Brian Slattery, "What are Aboriginal Rights?" (2007) Comparative Research in Law & Political Economy. Research Paper No. 1/2007 1	8, 13, 14, 17
Brian Slattery, " <u>Making Sense of Aboriginal and Treaty Rights</u> " (2000) 79:2 Can. Bar Rev. 196	10, 11, 12, 13, 16
Heidi Kiiwetinepinesiik Stark, "Respect, Responsibility, and Renewal: The Foundations of Anishinaabe Treaty Making with the United States and Canada" (2010) 34:2 American Indian Culture and Research J. 145	13
John Borrows, "Revitalizing Canada's Indigenous Constitution: Two Challenges" in <i>UNDRIP Implementation: Braiding International, Domestic and Indigenous Laws</i> (Waterloo, ON: CIGI, 2017) 20	26, 27, 28

John Borrows and Leonard I Rotman, " <u>The Sui Generis Nature of Aboriginal Rights: Does it Make a Difference?</u> " (1997) 36:1 Alberta L. Rev. 9	22, 23
Kent McNeil, " <u>Indigenous Law and Aboriginal Title</u> , (2016) 13:1 Osgoode Hall Law School Legal Studies Research Paper Series 1 at 8-9	16
Kent McNeil, "The Jurisdiction of Inherent Right Aboriginal Governments" (2007) Research Paper for the National Centre for First Nations Governance 1	10, 22, 23
Mark Walters, " <u>The 'Golden Thread' of Continuity: Aboriginal Customs at Common Law and Under the Constitution Act, 1982</u> ," (1999) 44 McGill L.J. 711	10
Minister of Indigenous and Northern Affairs Carolyn Bennett, "Announcement of Canada's Support for the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples" (Statement delivered at the 15th Session of the United Nations Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues, 10 May 2016)	30
Report of the Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples, <u>Vol 2: Restructuring</u> the Relationship (1996) 1	18
Report of the Special Rapporteur of the Human Rights Council on the rights of indigenous peoples" (21 July 2017), A/72/186	28
S James Anaya, "The Right of Indigenous Peoples to Self-Determination in the Post-Declaration Era" in Claire Charters & Rodolfo Stavenhagen, eds, Making the Declaration Work: The United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (Copenhagen: International Work Group for International Affairs, 2009) 184	26, 30
Senwung Luk, "Confounding Concepts: The Judicial Definition of the Constitutional Protection of the Aboriginal Right to Self-Government in Canada" (2009) 41:1 Ottawa L. Rev. 101	10
Val Napoleon, "What Is Indigenous Law? A Small Discussion" (2016) Indigenous Law Research Unit 1	10, 17