IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CANADA

(ON APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF APPEAL OF QUEBEC)

BETWEEN:

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF QUÉBEC

APPELLANT

-and-

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA, ASSEMBLÉE DES PREMIÈRES NATIONS QUÉBEC-LABRADOR (APNQL), COMMISSION DE LA SANTÉ ET DES SERVICES SOCIAUX DES PREMIÈRES NATIONS DU QUÉBEC ET DU LABRADOR (CSSSPNQL), SOCIÉTÉ MAKIVIK, ASSEMBLÉE DES PREMIÈRES NATIONS, ASENIWUCHE WINEWAK NATION OF CANADA, SOCIÉTÉ DE SOUTIEN À L'ENFANCE ET À LA FAMILLE DES PREMIÈRES NATIONS DU CANADA

RESPONDENTS

AND BETWEEN:

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

APPELLANT

-and-

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF QUÉBEC

RESPONDENT

[Style of cause continued on the next page]

FACTUM OF THE UNION OF BRITISH COLUMBIA INDIAN CHIEFS, THE FIRST NATIONS SUMMIT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA AND THE BRITISH COLUMBIA ASSEMBLY OF FIRST NATIONS

(Pursuant to Rules 37 and 42 of the Rules of the Supreme Court of Canada)

OLTHUIS VAN ERT

66 Lisgar St. Ottawa, ON K2P 0C1

Gib van Ert Fraser Harland

Tel: 613-501-5350 Fax: 613-651-0304

Email: gvanert@ovcounsel.com

Counsel for the Union of British Columbia Indian Chiefs, the First Nations Summit of British Columbia and the British Columbia Assembly of First Nations

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MANITOBA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ALBERTA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES, SOCIÉTÉ DE SOUTIEN À L'ENFANCE ET À LA FAMILLE DES PREMIÈRES NATIONS DU CANADA, ASENIWUCHE WINEWAK NATION OF CANADA, ASSEMBLÉE DES PREMIÈRES NATIONS, SOCIÉTÉ MAKIVIK, ASSEMBLÉE DES PREMIÈRES NATIONS QUÉBEC-LABRADOR (APNQL), COMMISSION DE LA SANTÉ ET DES SERVICES SOCIAUX DES PREMIÈRES NATIONS DU QUÉBEC ET DU LABRADOR (CSSSPNOL), GRAND COUNCIL OF TREATY #3. INNU TAKUAIKAN UASHAT MAK MANI-UTENAM (ITUM) ACTING AS A TRADITIONAL BAND AND ON BEHALF OF THE INNU OF UASHAT MAK MANI-UTENAM, FEDERATION OF SOVEREIGN INDIGENOUS NATIONS, PEGUIS CHILD AND FAMILY SERVICES, NATIVE WOMEN'S ASSOCIATION OF CANADA, COUNCIL OF YUKON FIRST NATIONS, INDIGENOUS BAR ASSOCIATION, CHIEFS OF ONTARIO, INUVIALUIT REGIONAL CORPORATION, INUIT TAPIRIIT KANATAMI, NUNATSIAVUT GOVERNMENT, NUNAVUT TUNNGAVIK INCORPORATED, NUNATUKAVUT COMMUNITY COUNCIL, LANDS ADVISORY BOARD, MÉTIS NATIONAL COUNCIL, MÉTIS NATION-SASKATCHEWAN, MÉTIS NATION OF ALBERTA, MÉTIS NATION OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, MÉTIS NATION OF ONTARIO, MICHIF WOMEN OTIPEMISIWAK, LISTUGUJ MI'GMAQ GOVERNMENT, CONGRESS OF ABORIGINAL PEOPLES, FIRST NATIONS FAMILY ADVOCATE OFFICE, ASSEMBLY OF MANITOBA CHIEFS, FIRST NATIONS OF THE MAA-NULTH TREATY SOCIETY, TRIBAL CHIEFS VENTURES INC, UNION OF BRITISH COLUMBIA INDIAN CHIEFS, FIRST NATIONS SUMMIT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, BRITISH COLUMBIA ASSEMBLY OF FIRST NATIONS, DAVID ASPER CENTRE FOR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, REGROUPEMENT PETAPAN, CANADIAN CONSTITUTIONAL FOUNDATION, CARRIER SEKANI FAMILY SERVICES SOCIETY, CHESLATTA CARRIER NATION, NADLEH WHUTEN, SAIK'UZ FIRST NATION, STELLAT'EN FIRST NATION, COUNCIL OF ATIKAMEKW OF OPITCIWAN, VANCOUVER ABORIGINAL CHILD AND FAMILY SERVICES SOCIETY, NISHNAWBE ASKI NATION

INTERVENERS

ORIGINAL TO: THE REGISTRAR

COPIES TO:

BERNARD, ROY & ASSOCIES

1, rue Notre-Dame Est, bureau 8.00 Montréal, Quebec H2Y 1B6

Samuel Chayer Francis Demers

Tel: 514-393-2336 Ext: 51456

Fax: 514-873-7074

Email: samuel.chayer@justice.gouv.qc.ca

Counsel for the Attorney General of Ouébec

MINISTERE DE LA JUSTICE - CANADA

284, rue Wellington Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0H8

Bernard Letarte François Joyal Andréanne Joanette-Laflamme Lindy Rouillard-Labbé Amélia Couture

Tel: 613-946-2776 Fax: 613-952-6006

Email: bernard.letarte@justice.gc.ca

Counsel for the Attorney General of

Canada

NOEL ET ASSOCIES, s.e.n.c.r.l.

225, montée Paiement, 2e étage Gatineau, Quebec J8P 6M7

Pierre Landry

Tel: 819-503-2178 Fax: 819-771-5397

Email: p.landry@noelassocies.com

Agent for the Attorney General of

Québec

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

Department of Justice Canada, Civil Litigation Section 50 O'Connor Street, 5th Floor Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0H8

Christopher M. Rupar

Tel: 613-670-6290 Fax: 613-954-1920

Email: Christopher.rupar@justice.gc.ca

Agent for the Attorney General of

Canada

FRANKLIN GERTLER ÉTUDE LÉGALE

507 Place d'Armes, bureau 1701 Montréal, Quebec H2Y 2W8

Franklin S. Gertler Gabrielle Champigny Hadrien Gabriel Burlone Mira Levasseur Moreau

Tel: 514-798-1988 Fax: 514-798-1986

Email: franklin@gertlerlex.ca

Counsel for Assemblée des Premières Nations Québec-Labrador (APNQL)

FRANKLIN GERTLER ÉTUDE LÉGALE

507 Place d'Armes, bureau 1701 Montréal, Quebec H2Y 2W8

Franklin S. Gertler Gabrielle Champigny Hadrien Gabriel Burlone Mira Levasseur Moreau

Tel: 514-798-1988 Fax: 514-798-1986

Email: franklin@gertlerlex.ca

Counsel for Commission de la santé et des services sociaux des Premières Nations du Québec et du Labrador (CSSSPNQL)

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP

100-340 Gilmour Street Ottawa, Ontario K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major

Tel: 613-695-8866 Ext: 102

Fax: 613-695-8580

Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Agent for Assemblée des Premières Nations Québec-Labrador (APNQL)

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP

100-340 Gilmour Street Ottawa, Ontario K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major

Tel: 613-695-8866 Ext: 102

Fax: 613-695-8580

Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Agent for Commission de la santé et des services sociaux des Premières Nations du Québec et du Labrador

(CSSSPNQL)

PAPE SALTER TEILLET LLP

546 Euclid Ave Toronto, Ontario

M6G 2T2

Kathryn Tucker Nuri Frame

Robin Campbell, c.j.c.

Tel: 416-916-2989 Fax: 416-916-3726

Email: ktucker@pstlaw.ca

Counsel for Société Makivik

ASSEMBLY OF FIRST NATIONS

55 Metcalfe Street, Suite 1600

Ottawa, Ontario

K1P 6L5

Stuart Wuttke Julie McGregor

Adam Williamson

Tel: 613-241-6789 Ext: 228

Fax: 613-241-5808

Email: swuttke@afn.ca

Counsel for Assemblée des Premières

Nations

JFK LAW CORPORATION

1175 Douglas St., Suite 816 Victoria, British Columbia

V8W 2E1

Claire Truesdale

Tel: 250-405-3467 Fax: 250-381-8567

Email: ctruesdale@jfklaw.ca

Counsel for Aseniwuche Winewak

Nation of Canada

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP

100-340 Gilmour Street

Ottawa, Ontario

K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major

Tel: 613-695-8866 Ext: 102

Fax: 613-695-8580

Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Agent for Société Makivik

SUPREME LAW GROUP

1800-275 Slater Street

Ottawa, Ontario

K1P 5H9

Moira Dillon

Tel: 613-691-1224

Fax: 613-691-1338

Email: mdillon@supremelawgroup.ca

Agent for Assemblée des Premières

Nations

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP

100-340 Gilmour Street

Ottawa, Ontario

K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major

Tel: 613-695-8866 Ext: 102

Fax: 613-695-8580

Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Agent for Aseniwuche Winewak Nation

of Canada

CONWAY BAXTER WILSON LLP

411 Roosevelt Avenue, suite 400 Ottawa, Ontario K2A 3X9

David P. Taylor Naiomi W. Metallic

Tel: 613-691-0368 Fax: 613-688-0271

Email: dtaylor@conwaylitigation.ca

Counsel for Société de soutien à l'enfance et à la famille des Premières Nations du Canada

ALBERTA JUSTICE AND SOLICITOR GENERAL

10th Floor, 10025-102 A Avenue Edmonton, Alberta T5J 2Z2

Angela Croteau Nicholas Parker

Tel: 780-422-6868 Fax: 780-643-0852

Email: angela.croteau@gov.ab.ca

Counsel for the Attorney General of Alberta

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MANITOBA CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

1230-405 Broadway Winnipeg, Manitoba R3C 3L6

Michael Conner

Tel: 204-391-0767 Fax: 204-945-0053

Email: Michael.conner@gov.mb.ca

Counsel for the Attorney General of Manitoba

GOWLING WLG (Canada) LLP

160 Elgin Street, Suite 2600 Ottawa, Ontario K1P 1C3

D. Lynne Watt

Tel: 613-786-8695 Fax: 613-788-3509

Email: lynne.watt@gowlingwlg.com

Agent for the Attorney General of

Alberta

GOWLING WLG (Canada) LLP

160 Elgin Street, Suite 2600 Ottawa, Ontario K1P 1C3

D. Lynne Watt

Tel: 613-786-8695 Fax: 613-788-3509

Email: lynne.watt@gowlingwlg.com

Agent for the Attorney General of

Manitoba

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

PO Box 9280 Stn Prov Govt Victoria, British Columbia V8W 9J7

Leah Greathead

Tel: 250-356-8892 Fax: 250-356-9154

Email: leah.greathead@gov.bc.ca

Counsel for the Attorney General of

British Columbia

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

Legal Division, Department of Justice 4903 49 Street, P.O. Box 1320 Yellowknife, NWT X1A 2L9

Trisha Paradis Sandra Jungles

Tel: (867) 767-9257 Fax: (867) 873-0234

Email: <u>Trish_paradis@gov.nt.ca</u> Sandra Jungles@gov.nt.ca

Counsel for the Attorney General of the Northwest Territories

JFK LAW CORPORATION

340 1122 Mainland Street Vancouver, BC V6B 5L1

Robert Janes, K.C. Naomi Moses

Tel: (604) 687-0549 Fax: (604) 687-2696 Email: <u>rjanes@jfklaw.ca</u>

Counsel for Grand Council of Treaty #3

MICHAEL J. SOBKIN

331 Somerset St W Ottawa, ON K2P 0J8

Michael J. Sobkin

Tel: 613-282-1712 Fax: 613-288-2896

Email: msobkin@sympatico.ca

Agent for the Attorney General of

British Columbia

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

Barristers & Solicitors 160 Elgin Street, Suite 2600 Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3

D. Lynne Watt

Tel: (613)786-8695 Fax: (613)788-3509

Email: lynne.watt@gowlingwlg.com

Agent for the Attorney General of the

Northwest Territories

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP

100 340 Gilmour Street Ottawa, ON K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major

Tel: (613) 695-8855 Ext: 102

Fax: (613) 695-8580

Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Agent for Grand Council of Treaty #3

O'REILLY & ASSOCIATES

1155 Robert-Bourassa, Suite 1007 Montréal, QC H3B 3A7

James A. O'Reilly, Ad.E. Marie-Claude André-Grégoire Michelle Corbu Vincent Carney

Tel: (514) 871-8117 Fax: (514) 871-9177

Email: james.oreilly@orassocies.ca

Counsel for the Intervener, Innu Takuaikan Uashat Mak Mani-Utenam (ITUM), acting as a traditional band and on behalf of the Innu of Uashat Mak Mani-Utenam

SUNCHILD LAW

P.O. Box 1408 Battleford, SK S0M 0E0

Michael Seed David Schulze

Tel: (306) 441-1473 Fax: (306) 937-6110

Email: michael@sunchildlaw.com

Counsel for the Federation of Sovereign Indigenous Nations

HAFEEZ KHAN LAW CORPORATION

1430-363 Broadway Ave. Winnipeg, MB R3C 3N9

Hafeez Khan Earl C. Stevenson

Tel: (431) 800-5650 Fax: (431) 800-2702

Email: hkhan@hklawcorp.ca

Counsel for Peguis Child and Family Services

BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP

100 Queen Street, suite 1300 Ottawa, ON K1P 1J9

Nadia Effendi

Tel: (613) 787-3562 Fax: (613) 230-8842 Email: neffendi@blg.com

Agent for the Intervener, Federation of Sovereign Indigenous Nations

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP

100- 340 Gilmour Street Ottawa, ON K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major

Tel: (613) 695-8855 Ext: 102

Fax: (613) 695-8580

Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Agent for, Peguis Child and Family

Services

NATIVE WOMEN'S ASSOCIATION OF CANADA

120 Portage Parkway Gatineau, QC J8X 2K1

Sarah Niman Kira Poirier

Tel: (613) 720-2529

Fax: (613) 722-7687 Email:

sniman@nwac.ca

Counsel for Native Women's Association of Canada

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

Suite 2300, Bentall 5 550 Burrard Street Vancouver, BC V6C 2B5

Paul Seaman Keith Brown

Tel: (604) 891-2731 / (416) 862-3614

Fax: (604) 443-6780

Email: paul.seaman@gowlingwlg.com

Counsel for the Indigenous Bar

Association

OLTHUIS, KLEER, TOWNSHEND

LLP 250 University Ave., 8th floor

Toronto, ON M5H 2E5

Maggie Wente Krista Nerland

Tel: (416) 981-9330 Fax: (416) 981-9350

Email: mwente@oktlaw.com

Counsel for Chiefs of Ontario

FIRST PEOPLES LAW GROUP

55 Murray Street, Suite 230 Ottawa, ON K1N 5M3

Virginia Lomax

Tel: (613) 722-9091

Email: vlomax@firstpeopleslaw.com

Agent for Native Women's Association

of Canada

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

160 Elgin Street Suite 2600 Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3

Cam Cameron

Tel: (613) 786-8650 Fax: (613) 563-9869

Email: cam.cameron@gowlingwlg.com

Agent for the Indigenous Bar

Association

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP

100 340 Gilmour Street Ottawa, ON

K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major

Tel: (613) 695-8855 Ext: 102

Fax: (613) 695-8580

Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Agent for Chiefs of Ontario

FOLGER, RUBINOFF LLP

77 King Street West; Suite 3000 Toronto, ON M5K 1G8

Katherine Hensel Kristie Tsang

Tel: (416) 864-7608 Fax: (416) 941-8852

Email: <u>khensel@foglers.com</u>

Counsel for Inuvialuit Regional Corporation

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

2600 160 Elgin Street Ottawa, ON, K1P 1C3

Brian A. Crane, K.C. Graham Ragan Alyssa Flaherty-Spence Kate Darling

Tel: (613) 786-0107 Fax: (613) 563-9869

Email: Brian.crane@gowlingwlg.com

Counsel for Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami, Nunatsiavut Government and Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated

BURCHELLS LLP

1800-1801 Hollis St. Halifax, NS B3J 3N4

Jason Cooke Ashley Hamp-Gonsalves

Tel: (902) 422-5374 Fax: (902) 420-9326

Email: jcooke@burchells.ca

Counsel for Nunatukavut Community Council

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP

100 340 Gilmour Street Ottawa, ON K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major

Tel: (613) 695-8855 Ext: 102

Fax: (613) 695-8580

Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Agent for Inuvialuit Regional Corporation

POWER LAW

99 Bank Street Suite 701 Ottawa, ON K1P 6B9

Jonathan Laxer

Tel: (613) 907-5652 Fax: (613) 907-5652

Email: ilaxer@powerlaw.ca

Agent for Nunatukavut Community Council

WILLIAM B. HENDERSON

3014 88 Bloor St East Toronto, ON M4W 3G9

Tel: (416) 413-9878 Fax: (416) 969-9285

Email: lawyer@bloorstreet.com

Counsel for Lands Advisory Board

PAPE SALTER TEILLET LLP

546 Euclid Avenue Toronto, Ontario M6G 2T2

Jason T. Madden Alexander DeParde

Tel.: (416) 916-3853 Fax: (416) 916-3726

Email: jmadden@pstlaw.ca

-and

CASSELS BROCK & BLACKWELL LLP

885 West Georgia Street, Suite 2200 Vancouver, BC V6C 3E8

Emilie N. Lahaie

Tel.: (778) 372-7651 Fax: (604) 691-6120

Email: elahaie@cassels.com

Counsel for Métis National Council, Métis Nation-Saskatchewan, Métis Nation of Alberta, Métis Nation British Columbia, Métis Nation of Ontario and Michif Women Otipemisiwak

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP

100 340 Gilmour Street Ottawa, ON K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major

Tel: (613) 695-8855 Ext: 102

Fax: (613) 695-8580

Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Agent for Lands Advisory Board

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

160 Elgin Street Suite 2600 Ottawa K1P 1C3

Matthew Estabrooks

Tel.: (613) 786-0211 Fax: (613) 788-3573

Email: matthew.estabrooks@gowlingwlg.com

Agent for Métis National Council, Métis Nation-Saskatchewan, Métis Nation of Alberta, Métis Nation British Columbia, Métis Nation of Ontario and Michif Women Otipemisiwak

PAPE SALTER TEILLET LLP

546 Euclid Avenue Toronto, ON, M6G 2T2

Zachary Davis Riley Weyman

Tel.: (416) 427-0337 Fax: (416) 916-3726 Email: zdavis@pstlaw.ca

Counsel for Listuguj Mi'Gmaq Government

PALIARE, ROLAND, ROSENBERG, ROTHSTEIN, LLP

155 Wellington Street West, 35th Floor Toronto, ON M5V 3H1

Andrew K. Lokan

Tel: (416) 646-4324 Fax: (416) 646-4301

Email: andrew.lokan@paliareroland.com

Counsel for Congress of Aboriginal Peoples

PUBLIC INTEREST LAW CENTRE

100 287 Broadway Winnipeg, MB R3C 0R9

Joëlle Pastora Sala Allison Fenske Maximilian Griffin-Rill Adrienne Cooper

Tel: (204) 985-9735 Fax: (204) 985-8544 Email: jopas@pilc.mb.ca

Counsel for First Nations Family Advocate Office

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

160 Elgin Street Suite 2600 Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3

Matthew Estabrooks

Tel.: (613) 786-0211 Fax: (613) 788-3573

Email:

matthew.estabrooks@gowlingwlg.com

Agent for Listuguj Mi'Gmaq Government

DENTONS CANADA LLP 99 Bank Street, Suite 1420 Ottawa, ON K1P 1H4

David R. Elliott

Tel: (613) 783-9699 Fax: (613) 783-9690

Email: david.elliott@dentons.com

Agent for Congress of Aboriginal Peoples

JURISTES POWER 99, Bank Street, Suite 701

Ottawa, ON K1P 6B9

Darius Bossé

Tel: (613) 702-5566 Fax: (613) 702-5566

Email: DBosse@juristespower.ca

Agent for First Nations Family

Advocate Office

TORYS LLP

79 Wellington Street, 30th Floor Box 270, TD Centre Toronto, ON M5K 1N2

David Outerbridge Craig Gilchrist Rebecca Amoah

Tel: (416) 865-7825 Fax (416) 865-7380

Email: douterbridge@torys.com

Counsel for Assembly of Manitoba Chiefs

FIRST NATIONS OF THE MAA-NULTH TREATY SOCIETY

500 221 West Esplanade North Vancouver, BC V7M 3J3

Maegen M. Giltrow, K.C. Natalia Sudeyko

Tel: (604) 988-5201 Fax: (604) 988-1452

Email: mgiltrow@ratcliff.com

Counsel for First Nations of the Maa- Nulth Treaty Society

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP Suite 2300, Bentall 5 550 Burrard Street

Vancouver, BC V6C 2B5

Aaron Christoff Brent Murphy

Tel: (604) 443-7685 Fax: (604) 683-3558

Email: aaron.christoff@gowlingwlg.com

Counsel for Tribal Chiefs Ventures Inc.

CHAMP & ASSOCIATES

43 Florence Street Ottawa, ON K2P 0W6

Bijon Roy

Tel: (613) 237-4740 Fax: (613) 232-2680

Email: broy@champlaw.ca

Agent for First Nations of the Maa-

Nulth Treaty Society

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP 160

Elgin Street Suite 2600 Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3

Marie-Christine Gagnon

Tel.: (613) 786-0086 Fax: (613) 563-9869

Email: Marie-

Christine.Gagnon@ca.gowlingwlg.com

Agent for Tribal Chiefs Ventures Inc.

GOLDBLATT PARTNERS LLP

20 Dundas Street West, Suite 1100 Toronto, ON M5G 2G8

Jessica Orkin Natai Shelsen

Tel: (416) 977-6070 Fax: (416) 591-7333

Email: jorkin@goldblattpartners.com

Counsel for David Asper Centre for Constitutional Rights

CAIN LAMARRE

814, boul. Saint Joseph Roberval, QC G8H 2L5

François G. Tremblay Benoît Amyot

Tel: (418) 545-4580 Fax: (418) 549-9590

Email: notification.cain.saguenay@clcw.ca

Counsel for Regroupement Petapan

MCCARTHY, TÉTRAULT LLP

TD Bank Tower Suite 5300 Toronto, ON M5K 1E6

Jesse Hartery Simon Bouthillier

Tel: (416) 362-1812 Fax: (416) 868-0673

Email: jhartery@mccarthy.ca

Counsel for Canadian Constitution

Foundation

GOLDBLATT PARTNERS LLP

500-30 Metcalfe St. Ottawa, ON K1P 5L4

Colleen Bauman

Tel: (613) 482-2463 Fax: (613) 235-5327

Email: cbauman@goldblattpartners.com

Agent for David Asper Centre for Constitutional Rights

CONWAY BAXTER WILSON LLP

400 411 Roosevelt Avenue Ottawa, ON K2A 3X9

Marion Sandilands

Tel: (613) 288-0149 Fax: (613) 688-0271

Email: msandilands@conway.pro

Agent for Regroupement Petapan

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

Suite 2300, Bentall 5 550 Burrard Street Vancouver, BC V6C 2B5

Scott A. Smith

Tel: (604) 891-2764 Fax: (604) 443-6784

Email: aaron.christoff@gowlingwlg.com

Counsel for Carrier Sekani Family Services Society, Cheslatta Carrier Nation, Nadleh Whuten, Saik'uz First Nation and Stellat'en First Nation

SIMARD BOIVIN LEMIEUX

1150, boul. Saint-Félicien Suite 106 Saint-Félicien, QC G8K 2W5

Kevin Ajmo

Tel: (418) 679-8888 Fax: (514) 679-8902

Email: k.ajmo@sblavocats.com

Counsel for Atikamekw Council of Opitciwan

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

Suite 2300, Bentall 5 550 Burrard Street Vancouver, BC V6C 2B5

Maxime Faille

Tel: (604) 891-2733 Fax: (604) 443-6784

Email: maxime.faille@gowlingwlg.com

Counsel for Vancouver Aboriginal Child

and Family Services Society

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

160 Elgin Street Suite 2600 Ottawa ON K1P 1C3

Jeffrey W. Beedell

Tel.: (613) 786-0171 Fax: (613) 563-9869

Email: <u>jeff.beedell@gowlingwlg.com</u>

Agent for Carrier Sekani Family Services Society, Cheslatta Carrier Nation, Nadleh Whuten, Saik'uz First Nation and Stellat'en First Nation

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

160 Elgin Street Suite 2600 Ottawa ON K1P 1C3

Jeffrey W. Beedell

Tel.: (613) 786-0171 Fax: (613) 563-9869

Email: jeff.beedell@gowlingwlg.com

Agent for Vancouver Aboriginal Child

and Family Services Society

FALCONERS LLP

10 Alcorn Avenue, Suite 204 Toronto, ON M4V 3A9

Julian N. Falconer

Tel: (416) 964-0495 Ext: 222

Fax: (416) 929-8179

Email: julianf@falconers.ca

Counsel for Nishnawbe Aski Nation

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP

100 340 Gilmour Street Ottawa, ON K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major

Tel: (613) 695-8855 Ext: 102

Fax: (613) 695-8580

Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Agent for Nishnawbe Aski Nation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I	– OVERVIEW	1 -
PART II	– QUESTIONS IN ISSUE	2 -
PART II	II – STATEMENT OF ARGUMENT	2 -
A.	The Declaration's complex legal status	2 -
B.	The Declaration, section 35, and the presumption of conformity	6 -
C.	Application to the right to self-government	9 -
PART IV – COSTS		10 -
PART V	– ORDER SOUGHT	10 -
PART V	T – TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	11 -

PART I – OVERVIEW

- 1. In its decision below, the Quebec Court of Appeal considered the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (the "Declaration")¹ in reaching its determination that section 35 of the *Constitution Act, 1982* includes a right to regulate child and family services.² But the interpretive weight the court gave the Declaration was unclear.
- 2. The same uncertainty prevails in other Canadian courts. In some decisions, reliance on the Declaration has been rejected.³ Others have endorsed an interpretive presumption of conformity with the Declaration such as this Court applies to Canada's binding international obligations.⁴ Mostly, though, lower court invocations of the Declaration offer little in the way of methodology or reasoning to support or reject its judicial use.⁵ Guidance from this Court is needed.
- 3. The Union of British Columbia Indian Chiefs ("UBCIC"), the First Nations Summit of British Columbia ("FNS"), and the British Columbia Assembly of First Nations ("BCAFN") (collectively known as the First Nations Leadership Council ("FNLC")) intervene to offer a "coherent and consistent methodology" for addressing this issue and then suggest how it could be applied to the right of self-government at issue in this appeal. We advance three points.
- 4. First, this Court must avoid oversimplifying the Declaration's legal status. The court below fell into this error, labelling the Declaration non-binding without attention to the significant

¹ UN General Assembly, United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, resolution adopted by the General Assembly, 2 October 2007, <u>A/RES/61/295</u> [UNDRIP].

² Renvoi à la Cour d'appel du Québec relative à la Loi concernant les enfants, les jeunes et les familles des Premières Nations, des Inuits et des Métis, <u>2022 QCCA 185</u> at paras 506-513 [Decision below].

³ See e.g. East Prairie Metis Settlement v Canada (Attorney General), 2021 ABQB 762 at para 35; Snuneymuxw First Nation v School District No. 68, 2014 BCSC 1173 at para 59; Hupacasath First Nation v Canada (Ministry of Foreign Affairs), 2013 FC 900 at para 51, aff'd 2015 FCA 4.

⁴ See e.g. Canada (Human Rights Commission) v Canada (Attorney General), 2012 FC 445 at paras 348-356; Nunatukavut Community Council Inc v Canada (Attorney General), 2015 FC 981 at paras 103-106; First Nations Child and Family Caring Society of Canada et al v Attorney General of Canada (Ministry of Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada), 2020 CHRT 20 at paras 136-157.

⁵ See e.g. *Hamilton Health Sciences Corp v H(D)*, <u>2015 ONCJ 229</u> at para 5; *R v Sayers*, <u>2017 ONCJ 77</u> at paras 49-53; *R v Francis-Simms*, <u>2017 ONCJ 402</u> at paras 47-48; *Scheck v Canada (Minister of Justice)*, <u>2019 BCCA 364</u> at para 85; *Servatius v Alberni School District No. 70*, <u>2020 BCSC 15</u> at para 37.

⁶ Quebec (Attorney General) v 9147-0732 Québec inc, <u>2020 SCC 32</u> at para 3 [9147-0732 Québec].

overlap between the Declaration's provisions and Canada's binding international human rights obligations, without considering the Declaration's status in customary international law, and without fully grappling with the Declaration's domestic significance as declared by statutes or required by the honour of the Crown.

- 5. Second, in light of the Declaration's complex legal status, blanket depictions of it as either binding or non-binding (whether as a matter of international law, domestic law or both) are unhelpful. In each case where an article of the Declaration may be relevant, a careful judicial consideration of that provision is called for before pronouncing on the interpretive relevance or weight to be given to it. Some of the Declaration's articles reflect binding international law (whether conventional, customary, or both). According to this Court's jurisprudence, those provisions attract the interpretive presumption of conformity with international law. Even articles of the Declaration that may not be so clearly established in international law must be carefully considered, due to the authority Parliament and the BC legislature have accorded the Declaration through statute and the honour of the Crown principle.
- 6. Third, employing this methodology to the issues raised by this appeal reveals that the Declaration's articles affirming the Indigenous right to self-determination represent binding international law. As a result, section 35 of the *Constitution Act*, 1982 should be interpreted as presumptively consistent with this right.

PART II – QUESTIONS IN ISSUE

7. What is the Declaration's legal status and how should it be used by courts in statutory interpretation and the interpretation of section 35 of the *Constitution Act*, 1982?

PART III – STATEMENT OF ARGUMENT

A. The Declaration's complex legal status

- 8. The Declaration is distinct among international instruments. The question of what interpretive weight courts should assign it is not straightforward.
- 9. At international law, a crucial distinction is drawn between binding and non-binding norms. In Canada as in many other countries, binding international norms—most notably, treaties to which the state is a party and rules of customary international law—attract the interpretive

presumption of conformity with international law.⁷ Non-binding international norms, by contrast, may be judicially considered where they are relevant to and persuasive in respect of some legal question that falls to be determined, but domestic laws are not presumed to conform to them for interpretive purposes.⁸

- 10. At international law, the Declaration is a *formally* non-binding instrument. As a resolution of the United Nations General Assembly, it is not a definite source of international legal obligation in the same manner as international treaties or established norms of customary international law. However, that is far from the end of the matter.
- 11. More important than its form is the Declaration's substance. There is no question but that parts of the Declaration overlap considerably with the provisions of international human rights treaties to which Canada has bound itself. For example, article 2 of the Declaration states that

Indigenous peoples and individuals are free and equal to all other peoples and individuals and have the right to be free from any kind of discrimination, in the exercise of their rights, in particular that based on their indigenous origin or identity.¹⁰

This provision is consistent with—and, indeed, included within—equality and non-discrimination provisions of such binding instruments as the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights 1966¹¹ ("ICCPR") and the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination 1969.¹² The same goes for several other rights affirmed by the Declaration, such as the right to life, liberty and personal security (article 7(1))¹³ and protection from genocide (article 7(2)).¹⁴

⁷ B010 v Canada (Citizenship and Immigration), 2015 SCC 58 at paras 47-48.

⁸ 9147-0732 Ouébec at paras 35-36.

⁹ J. Crawford, *Brownlie's Principles of Public International Law*, 9th ed (Oxford: Oxford University Press 2009) at 182-83 [Crawford], Book of Authorities ("BOA"), Tab 1.

¹⁰ UNDRIP, art 2.

¹¹ [1976] Can TS no 47, art 26 [ICCPR].

¹² [1970] Can TS no 28, art 2(1).

¹³ ICCPR, art 9. See also K. Gover, "Equality and Non-Discrimination in the UNDRIP" in J. Hohmann and M. Weller, eds, *The UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples: A Commentary* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2018) 179 at 183 [Hohmann and Weller], BOA, Tab 2.

¹⁴ Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide 1948, [1949] Can TS no 27. See also J. Hohmann, "The UNDRIP and the Rights of Indigenous Peoples to Existence, Cultural Integrity and Identity, and Non-Assimilation" in Hohmann and Weller 150 at 164–65, BOA, Tab 3.

- 12. Furthermore, parts of the Declaration represent customary international law. Customary international legal norms are binding on states by virtue of their statehood alone. To the extent that any given provision of the Declaration represents international custom, the norm expressed therein is binding on Canada regardless of the Declaration's formally non-binding status. Ten years ago, an assessment of state practice in respect of the Declaration concluded that although the Declaration "as a whole cannot yet be considered as a statement of existing customary international law", it nevertheless "includes key provisions which correspond to existing State obligations under customary international law." There have been significant developments in state practice since that time, particularly in a leading Declaration jurisdiction, namely Canada.
- 13. Customary international law can develop over time "based on changing practice and acceptance." There is good reason to think that the Declaration will become increasingly recognized as declaratory of customary international law in much the same way as another formally non-binding declaration of the UN General Assembly, namely the Universal Declaration of Human Rights 1948, 17 has come to be recognized. 18
- 14. The treatment of the Declaration in Canadian law adds further complexity to its legal status. Parliament has affirmed the Declaration "as a source for the interpretation of Canadian law" and "a universal international human rights instrument with application in Canadian law." The BC legislature has amended the *Interpretation Act* to require courts to construe every BC law and regulation as being consistent with the Declaration.²¹
- 15. Furthermore, both Parliament and the BC legislature have signalled future action to align those jurisdictions' respective laws with the Declaration by enacting statutory provisions requiring the federal and BC governments to "take all measures necessary to ensure that the laws of

¹⁵ International Law Association, Final Report of Sofia Conference (2012) on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples. See also B. Gunn, "Overcoming Obstacles to Implementing the *UN Declaration of the Rights of Indigenous Peoples in Canada (2013)* 31 Windsor YB Access Just 147 at 161–62; M. Scheinin and M. Ahren "Relationship to Human Rights, and Related International Instruments" in Hohmann and Weller 63 at 64, BOA, Tab 4.

¹⁶ Nevsun Resources Ltd v Araya, 2020 SCC 5 at para 74.

¹⁷ UN GA Res 217 A (III), UN Doc A/810 (1948).

¹⁸ See Crawford at 612, BOA, Tab 1.

¹⁹ <u>United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples Act</u>, SC 2021, c 14, preamble, para. 18 [UNDRIPA].

²⁰ UNDRIPA, s 4(a).

²¹ Interpretation Act, RSBC 1996, c 238, s 8.1(3).

[Canada/British Columbia] are consistent with the Declaration."²² These provisions do not themselves implement the Declaration in federal and BC law. What they do, however, is create a statutory duty to implement the Declaration. They also regulate the *process* for doing so; both the federal and British Columbia laws require that measures to ensure consistency with the Declaration be undertaken "in consultation and cooperation with" Indigenous peoples.²³ This process has begun and is ongoing. The UBCIC, FNS, and BCAFN are deeply engaged in this work, together with the federal and BC governments.

- 16. For example, in British Columbia, the *Indigenous Self-Government in Child and Family Services Amendment Act* (Bill 38) received first reading in October 2022. The bill amends the *Child, Family and Community Service Act*²⁴ and the *Adoption Act*²⁵ to recognize that "Indigenous peoples have an inherent right to self-government, including self-determination, that is recognized and affirmed by section 35 of the *Constitution Act, 1982* and by the *United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples.*" The amendments were co-developed with the FNLC and First Nations government representatives in a process that considered the alignment of provincial child and family services laws with the requirements of implementing the Declaration. As Premier Horgan noted at the introduction of the amendments, "B.C. was the first province to bring [the Declaration] into provincial law and it's fulfilling to see how that bold action continues to create reforms that support reconciliation and make life better for Indigenous communities."
- 17. Finally, the honour of the Crown must be central to any assessment of the Declaration's domestic legal status. In May 2016, the Government of Canada, in exercise of its Crown prerogative over foreign affairs, informed the United Nations—and the Indigenous peoples of this country and the world—that "Canada is now a full supporter, without qualification" of the Declaration, and that Canada is "commit[ted] to adopt[ing] and implement[ing] the Declaration in

²² <u>UNDRIPA</u>, s 5; <u>Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples Act</u>, SBC 2019, c 44, s 3 [DRIPA].

²³ UNDRIPA, s 5; <u>DRIPA</u>, s 3.

²⁴ Child, Family and Community Service Act, RSBC 1996, c 46.

²⁵ Adoption Act, RSBC 1996, c 5.

²⁶ Bill C-38, *Indigenous Self-Government in Child and Family Services Act*, 3rd Session, 42nd Leg, BC, 2022, s 19 (First Reading 26 October 2022). See also <u>FNLC memorandum, dated 27 October 2022</u>, summarizing the amendments for BC First Nations Chiefs and leaders.

²⁷ Government of British Columbia News Release, "Historic changes to B.C. child-welfare laws lay path to upholding Indigenous jurisdiction", 26 October 2022.

accordance with the Canadian Constitution." The government's statement added: "Adopting and implementing the Declaration means that we will be breathing life into Section 35 of Canada's Constitution, which provides a full box of rights for Indigenous peoples." ²⁸

- 18. The full legal consequences of this monumental Crown commitment to Indigenous peoples remain to be determined. What we can say with confidence now is that this Court has repeatedly affirmed that the "honour of the Crown is always at stake in its dealings with Aboriginal peoples", that "[i]t is always assumed that the Crown intends to fulfil its promises,"²⁹ and that the Crown must "act diligently in pursuit of its solemn obligations."³⁰ Canada's unqualified support of the Declaration and commitment to its adoption and implementation in our law is a promise to Indigenous peoples rivaling and perhaps exceeding those in the *Royal Proclamation*, 1763.³¹ Judicial resort to the Declaration must be informed by this promise. The same cannot be said of any other formally non-binding international instrument.
- 19. In short, the legal status of the Declaration in Canadian law is unique and developing. This Court should avoid simplifications, or premature conclusions about the Declaration's legal status and interpretive significance. It should be mindful of the ongoing efforts of governments and Indigenous peoples to implement the Declaration in Canadian law. And it should bear in mind that Canada's support of the Declaration engages the honour of the Crown.

B. The Declaration, section 35, and the presumption of conformity

20. The Declaration is an international human rights instrument. In the words of the former UN special rapporteur on the rights of Indigenous peoples, "the Declaration does not attempt to bestow indigenous peoples with a set of special or new human rights, but rather provides a contextualized elaboration of general human rights principles and rights as they relate to the specific historical, cultural and social circumstances of indigenous peoples."³² Parliament has

²⁸ Government of Canada News Release, "Canada Becomes a Full Supporter of the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples", 10 May 2016 [Canada News Release].

²⁹ R v Badger, [1996] 1 SCR 771 at para 41; Haida Nation v British Columbia (Minister of Forests), 2004 SCC 73 at paras 16, 20.

³⁰ Manitoba Metis Federation Inc v Canada (Attorney General), <u>2013 SCC 14</u> at para 78.

³¹ 3 Geo. 3 [reproduced in RSC 1985, App II, No 1].

³² S. James Anaya, Human Rights Council, "Report of the Special Rapporteur on the Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms of Indigenous Peoples", <u>A/HRC/9/9</u> (August 11, 2008) at para 86 [Anaya].

affirmed this proposition, calling the Declaration "a universal international human rights instrument with application in Canadian law."³³

- 21. This Court has long held that the *Charter* is to be interpreted as presumptively protecting human rights at least as well as they are protected in international human rights treaties to which Canada is a party.³⁴ This Court has applied that interpretive approach in respect of the ICCPR,³⁵ the Convention on the Rights of the Child 1989,³⁶ the Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment 1984,³⁷ and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights 1966.³⁸ The Declaration is a new international human rights instrument that should, in future cases, attract this same interpretive presumption in *Charter* cases.
- 22. This Court has not yet had occasion to consider the interpretive weight of international human rights instruments on section 35 of the *Constitution Act, 1982*. This case presents that opportunity, particularly in the context of Indigenous children.³⁹
- 23. In proper cases, there is no principled reason not to apply the presumption of conformity with international law to section 35 of the *Constitution Act, 1982*. This Court has explained that section 35 and the *Charter* are "sister provisions, both operating to limit governmental powers, whether federal or provincial." In the decision below, the Quebec Court of Appeal was therefore right to conclude that "[i]l n'y a rien qui justifie de ne pas étendre cette présomption à l'art. 35 de la Loi constitutionnelle de 1982, vu qu'il se rattache principalement à la protection des droits fondamentaux des peoples autochtones." Those provisions of the Declaration that represent or

³³ UNDRIPA, s 4(a).

_

³⁴ <u>9147-0732 Québec</u> at paras 31-32. See also *Saskatchewan Federation of Labour v Saskatchewan*, <u>2015 SCC 4</u> at para 64; *Reference Re Public Service Employee Relations Act* (*Alta.*), [1987] 1 SCR 313 at 348-350.

³⁵ ICCPR. See <u>9147-0732 Québec</u> at para 39; Suresh v Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration), <u>2002 SCC 1</u> at paras 45-46, 59-75 [Suresh].

³⁶ [1992] Can TS no 3. See *R v DB*, 2008 SCC 25 at paras 59-60; *R v RC*, 2005 SCC 61. See also the preamble to the *Youth Criminal Justice Act*, SC 2001, c 1.

³⁷ [1987] Can TS no 36. See <u>9147-0732 Québec</u> at para 39; Suresh at paras 45-46, 59-75.

³⁸ [1976] Can TS no 46. See Slaight Communications Inc v Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 at 1056.

³⁹ P. Joffe, "Supporting Indigenous Peoples' Human Rights—Especially Children," *ABlawg*, 25 August 2022.

⁴⁰ Tsilhqot'in Nation v British Columbia, 2014 SCC 44 at para 142.

⁴¹ Decision below at para 509.

declare legal norms binding on Canada ought to inform the interpretation of section 35 in the same way as Canada's human rights treaties inform *Charter* interpretation.

- 24. Even where articles of the Declaration may not be confidently said to reflect binding international law, they should nevertheless be considered more closely and carefully than the "relevant and persuasive" rubric, applicable to non-binding instruments in the *Charter* context, allows. This Court's jurisprudence leaves space to assign different interpretive weight to international instruments depending on "the nature of the source and its relationship to our Constitution."⁴² Given the distinct and developing character of the Declaration in domestic law, it merits greater judicial consideration than afforded to such non-binding international norms as treaties to which Canada is not a party, the communiqués of international organizations, or the observations of international advisory bodies—all of which this Court has considered from time to time while rightly disclaiming any judicial obligation to do so. 43 For courts to dismiss or sideline the Declaration as easily as they may legitimately do with non-binding international instruments would fail to take seriously the fact that Parliament has made the Declaration part of what the Quebec Court of Appeal aptly called "l'ordre normatif fédéral". 44 It would ignore the ongoing Declaration implementation process to which both Canada and British Columbia are committed by statute. And it would risk tolerating dishonourable conduct by the Crown towards the Declaration's beneficiaries, Indigenous peoples.
- 25. In sum, when the Declaration is invoked in litigation, courts should undertake a careful analysis of the relevant article or articles to the issue at hand. Articles that reflect binding international law should attract the presumption of conformity, whether in the context ordinary statutes, *Charter* provisions, or section 35 of the *Constitution Act, 1982*. Articles of the Declaration that may not be legally binding in international law should nevertheless be given close consideration in light of the honour of the Crown and the ongoing federal and BC processes with Indigenous peoples to align those jurisdictions' laws with the Declaration.

⁴² <u>9147-0732 Québec</u> at para 23.

⁴³ 9147-0732 Québec at para 35.

⁴⁴ Decision below at para 512.

C. Application to the right to self-government

- 26. Applying this methodology to the present case, this Court should conclude that the right to Indigenous self-determination affirmed in the Declaration attracts the presumption of conformity with international law, and that section 35 should be interpreted accordingly.
- 27. To begin, this Court should reject simplistic, overbroad statements about the Declaration's legal status and recognize instead the variety of considerations that make the Declaration an instrument of special significance in domestic law.
- 28. Next, the Court should focus on those articles of the Declaration relevant to this appeal, leaving other articles for consideration in future cases. This appeal concerns the right to regulate child and family services specifically, and the right to Indigenous self-determination more broadly. The most relevant articles of the Declaration with respect to this right are articles 3, 4, 5, 7, 8 and 23. Article 3 provides:

Indigenous peoples have the right to self-determination. By virtue of that right they freely determine their political status and freely pursue their economic, social and cultural development.

Subsequent articles particularize the right to self-determination and set out Indigenous peoples' rights to self-government over internal and local affairs (article 4); to maintain and strengthen their distinct political, legal economic, social and cultural institutions (article 5); to life, physical and mental integrity, liberty and security of person (article 7); to protection from forced assimilation or destruction of their culture (article 8); and to be actively involved in developing and determining health, housing and other economic and social programmes affecting them (article 23).

29. Turning next to the international context of these provisions, article 3 is entirely consistent with the self-determination protections in international human rights instruments binding on Canada.⁴⁵ Article 1(1) of both the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights 1966⁴⁶ and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights 1966⁴⁷ provide that

All peoples have the right to self-determination. By virtue of that right they freely determine their political status and freely pursue their economic, social and cultural development.

⁴⁵ See <u>Anaya</u> at para 37.

⁴⁶ [1976] Can TS no 47.

⁴⁷ [1976] Can TS no 46.

- 30. Article 3 of the Declaration reiterates the right to self-determination in the Indigenous human rights context. Article 3 is therefore not just a relevant and persuasive non-binding authority. It is an Indigenous expression of a legal principle to which Canada is committed and bound under this state's existing international obligations. The result is that article 3 of the Declaration should attract the presumption of conformity, and section 35 of the *Constitution Act*, 1982 should be interpreted as presumptively including a right to Indigenous self-determination.
- 31. It is here that the Quebec Court of Appeal took a misstep in its discussion of the Declaration. The court was right to conclude that there is no principled reason that the presumption of conformity should not extend to section 35. 48 However, it went on to say that the Declaration is "non contraignant au plan international" and—although not saying so explicitly—seemed to treat it as a relevant and persuasive consideration only. 49 If the Court of Appeal had adopted the approach proposed here, it would have instead concluded that the Declaration articles related to self-determination—particularly article 3—were not just relevant and persuasive considerations for the court, but presumptively protected by section 35 as obligations binding on Canada as a matter of international law. This would have allowed the Court to rely on the Declaration not as a mere confirmation 50 that its interpretation of section 35 was correct, but instead to determine that section 35 must be presumed to include the right to self-determination.

PART IV - COSTS

32. UBCIC, FNS, and BCAFN do not seek costs and ask that no costs be awarded against them.

PART V – ORDER SOUGHT

33. UBCIC, FNS, and BCAFN take no position on the outcome of the appeal.

ALL OF WHICH IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 14th day of November, 2022.

Gib van Ert

Fraser Harland

⁴⁸ Decision below at para 509.

⁴⁹ Decision below at para 512.

⁵⁰ <u>Decision below</u> at para 513.

PART VI – TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

A. Jurisprudence

Case	Paragraph(s)
B010 v Canada (Citizenship and Immigration), 2015 SCC 58	9
Canada (Human Rights Commission) v Canada (Attorney General), <u>2012 FC</u> <u>445</u>	2
East Prairie Metis Settlement v Canada (Attorney General), 2021 ABQB 762	2
First Nations Child and Family Caring Society of Canada et al v Attorney General of Canada (Ministry of Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada), 2020 CHRT 20	2
Haida Nation v British Columbia (Minister of Forests), 2004 SCC 73	18
Hamilton Health Sciences Corp v H(D), 2015 ONCJ 229	2
Hupacasath First Nation v Canada (Ministry of Foreign Affairs), 2013 FC 900, aff'd 2015 FCA 4	2
Manitoba Metis Federation Inc v Canada (Attorney General), 2013 SCC 14	18
Nevsun Resources Ltd v Araya, <u>2020 SCC 5</u>	13
Nunatukavut Community Council Inc v Canada (Attorney General), <u>2015 FC</u> <u>981</u>	2
Quebec (Attorney General) v 9147-0732 Québec inc, 2020 SCC 32	3, 21, 24
R v Badger, [1996] 1 SCR 771	18
R v DB, 2008 SCC 25	21
R v Francis-Simms, 2017 ONCJ 402	2
R v RC, 2005 SCC 61	21
<i>R v Sayers</i> , <u>2017 ONCJ 77</u>	2

Reference Re Public Service Employee Relations Act (Alta.), [1987] 1 SCR 313	21
Renvoi à la Cour d'appel du Québec relative à la Loi concernant les enfants, les jeunes et les familles des Premières Nations, des Inuits et des Métis, 2022 QCCA 185	1, 23, 24, 31
Saskatchewan Federation of Labour v Saskatchewan, 2015 SCC 4	21
Scheck v Canada (Minister of Justice), 2019 BCCA 364	2
Servatius v Alberni School District No. 70, 2020 BCSC 15	2
Slaight Communications Inc v Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038	21
Snuneymuxw First Nation v School District No. 68, 2014 BCSC 1173	2
Suresh v Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration), 2002 SCC 1	21
Tsilhqot'in Nation v British Columbia, <u>2014 SCC 44</u>	23

B. Domestic legislation

Legislation	Paragraph(s)
Adoption Act, RSBC 1996, c 5	16
Bill C-38, <i>Indigenous Self-Government in Child and Family Services Act</i> , 3rd Session, 42nd Leg, BC, 2022, s 19 (First Reading 26 October 2022)	16
Child, Family and Community Service Act, RSBC 1996, c 46	16
Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples Act, SBC 2019, c 44	15
Interpretation Act, RSBC 1996, c 238	14
Royal Proclamation, 1763, 3 Geo. 3 [reproduced in RSC 1985, App II, No 1]	18
<u>United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples Act</u> , SC 2021, c 14	14, 15, 20

C. Treaties and international law sources

Treaty or International Law Source	Paragraph(s)
Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment 1984, [1987] Can TS no 36	21
Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination 1969, [1976] Can TS no 47	11
Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide 1948, [1949] Can TS no 27	11
Convention on the Rights of the Child 1989, [1992] Can TS no 3	21
International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights 1966, [1976] Can TS no 46	21, 29
International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights 1966, [1970] Can TS no 28	11, 21, 29
UN General Assembly, United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, resolution adopted by the General Assembly, 2 October 2007, A/RES/61/295	1, 11
Universal Declaration of Human Rights 1948, <u>UN GA Res 217 A (III)</u> , UN Doc A/810 (1948)	13

D. Secondary sources

Source	Paragraph(s)
S. James Anaya, Human Rights Council, "Report of the Special Rapporteur on the Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms of Indigenous Peoples", A/HRC/9/9 (August 11, 2008)	20, 29
J. Crawford, <i>Brownlie's Principles of Public International Law</i> , 9 th ed (Oxford: Oxford University Press 2009), Book of Authorities, Tab 1	10, 13
FNLC memorandum, dated 27 October 2022	16
K. Gover, "Equality and Non-Discrimination in the UNDRIP" in J. Hohmann and M. Weller, eds, <i>The UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples: A Commentary</i> (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2018) 179, Book of Authorities, Tab 2	11
Government of British Columbia News Release, "Historic changes to B.C. child-welfare laws lay path to upholding Indigenous jurisdiction", 26 October 2022	16

Government of Canada News Release, "Canada Becomes a Full Supporter of the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples", 10 May 2016	17
B. Gunn, "Overcoming Obstacles to Implementing the <i>UN Declaration of the Rights of Indigenous Peoples i</i> n Canada (2013) 31 Windsor YB Access Just 147 at 161–62	12
J. Hohmann, "The UNDRIP and the Rights of Indigenous Peoples to Existence, Cultural Integrity and Identity, and Non-Assimilation" in J. Hohmann and M. Weller, eds, <i>The UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples: A Commentary</i> (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2018) 150, Book of Authorities, Tab 3	11
International Law Association, Final Report of Sofia Conference (2012) on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples	12
P. Joffe, "Supporting Indigenous Peoples' Human Rights—Especially Children," ABlawg, 25 August 2022	22
M. Scheinin and M. Ahren "Relationship to Human Rights, and Related International Instruments" in J. Hohmann and M. Weller, eds, <i>The UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples: A Commentary</i> (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2018) 63, Book of Authorities, Tab 4	12